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ABSTRACT

Citizen participation would be crucial towards transforming the global electricity infrastructure. Household involvement would be particularly vital for nations with underdeveloped grid and widespread electricity outages like Nigeria. This study investigates urban households’ willingness to pay and participate in off-grid solar photovoltaic adoption in Lagos, Nigeria. Results reveal that households have an above average interest in photovoltaic generated electricity. This was the case irrespective of tenancy type. Age, income and education also influenced participation. Although overall willingness to pay was positive, it was higher in the presence of government support. The preferred rate of fiscal incentive support was between 50-60%. In addition, when made available and given the opportunity, many households would participate in the feed-in-tariff export scheme. The implications of the study are detailed and suggestions for increased participation presented. Findings point to the importance of regulatory reforms and political intervention using favourable monetary and non-fiscal support incentives.
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INTRODUCTION

With inhabitants of over 180 million people, Nigeria represents about 47% of the population of West Africa [1]. The African continent has the highest number of people with the least access to electricity and energy supplies [2] and therefore has one of the least per capita electricity consumption in sub-Saharan Africa [3]. At 6 GW, the central grid electricity infrastructure in Nigeria is unable to meet demand even for the 40% grid connected urban households [4]. This low electricity access and the unstable supply from the grid electricity infrastructure has slowed down growth and socio-economic development.

* Corresponding author
As nations transition to low-carbon energy technologies, new opportunities are presented for Nigeria to transform the energy sector and economy using renewables. The motivation for the global shift in energy policy towards climate action is triggered by the desire for energy security and a reduction in carbon emissions [5]. The use of combustible fuels for power production and energy use in the built environment is a key cause of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) emissions. A UN report estimates that globally 66% of aggregate electricity production comes from fossil fuels contributing to approximately 60% of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions responsible for climate change [6].

As the prices of crude petroleum products from which Nigeria generates over 75% of its revenue [7] have stagnated, the Nigerian government is desperate to diversify its energy portfolio and improve electricity supply to consumers. The government intends to include green energy technologies such as solar in the energy mix with solar Photovoltaic (PV) electricity targeted to contribute 13 GW by 2030 [7]. Also, as a signatory to the Paris agreement adopted in December 2015 [8], Nigeria has started to make changes to its energy policy to include climate action plans with a number of electricity sector reforms for short, medium and long terms [7].

These considerations are not being made by the Nigerian government alone. Households and electricity end-users who have for decades substituted grid electricity with private power generators which run on petrol and diesel have begun to seek alternative and more reliable power. For years, half of all electricity generated in Nigeria was produced privately [9]. However, following the removal of fuel subsidies, the prices of petroleum products by which the generators are operated have increased significantly. Similarly, electricity tariffs rose by almost 90% [10] making it less attractive compared to cleaner sources.

Many studies have been carried out on the electricity sector challenges in Nigeria and the importance of including modern renewables in the energy mix [4]. While some focus on rural electrification [11], others concentrate mostly on centrally generated and supplied electricity using renewables. This is because of the view that the longstanding power sector challenge is a supply-oriented one thereby treating the solution as such [12]. This approach has yielded little results over the past 30 years [13].

The study by Adhekpukoli [14] on the democratization of electricity in Nigeria considers the vital role electricity end-users can play but without practical demonstration of how this would work. No known study has assessed household willingness to participate in green electricity programmes in Nigeria despite a high rate of own power generation. This could be due to the false assumption that the citizens cannot afford innovative power technologies like PV. This present study seeks to fill this essential knowledge gap by investigating household willingness to participate in own power generation using off-grid PV.

Contributing to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) 7 to make clean energy affordable, SDG 9 on innovation and infrastructure and SDG 12 which encourages responsible consumption and production, this study specifically assesses the Willingness To Pay (WTP) for standalone solar PV using urban Lagos households as case study. The paper is structured as follows. The next section explores consumer responses and WTP for green energy literatures. This is followed by the materials and methods applied to the study. Subsequently, the results and analysis of findings are presented. Lastly, the discussion and conclusions section finalise the paper with recommendations for increased participation.

**A REVIEW OF CONSUMER RESPONSES AND WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR GREEN POWER AND MICROGENERATION TECHNOLOGIES**

Studies abound on consumer responses and WTP for renewable energy and green electricity. Oliver et al. [15] carried out their study in Cape Peninsula, South Africa. They reported a high WTP for green electricity from higher income earners. Using Greek
households, Sardianou and Genoudi, reported the role of income towards WTP for renewable energy sources. Middle-aged, highly educated people were found to display a greater WTP [16]. For Hast et al. [17], the case study was Shanghai, China. They recorded cost savings and energy security as drivers while capital costs and product quality issues were a barrier to participation. With the exception of the study by Oliver et al. [15], the above studies are predominantly focused on advanced economies but research is beginning to emerge in developing countries in Africa [18].

Many of the available studies concentrate on household preferences in the estimation of WTP for green electricity [19, 20]. There are generally two kinds of WTP studies. The first category makes use of absolute amounts of the renewable electricity source or percentage premiums to estimate the WTP for non-specific green electricity [17]. The second category tends to apply Contingent Valuation Methods (CVM) or choice experiment as the elicitation method for estimating consumer WTP based on different shares of green electricity, environmental impacts and related metrics [21]. In both categories, the electricity markets are fully liberalised or deregulated to allow consumers the opportunity to choose supplier.

In most studies, WTP is often expressed in either absolute or relative terms as an increase in the total electricity bill payable or an increase in the price per/kWh or per month of electricity received [22]. Overall, there are differences in the results reported because these studies are carried out in different countries and regions making it circumstantial [23]. It is also due to the different survey methods applied and whether or not the WTP is for green electricity or stand-alone systems such as PV. For instance, in Japan, Nomura and Akai [24] found a WTP for green electricity at 17 USD/month while in Slovenia, Zorić and Hrovatin [22] revealed an average monthly WTP of EUR 4 or 9% of the monthly electricity bill. More recently, a WTP that is 3 times higher than the monthly electricity bill was reported in South Korea [25] and China [21].

While the generating costs of green electricity and WTP varies by source [19], there have been reported preference for solar over other energy sources like wind [24]. However, fewer studies have investigated the WTP for Microgeneration Technologies (MGTs) such as decentralised solar PV [26]. MGTs refer to the generation of heat or power using a renewable energy source from a system size of =/< 50 kWp [27]. This may be due to the high investment cost of a sizeable PV module. However, there is evidence that PV module costs has been consistently falling making it now more affordable compared to decades ago when it was 5 USD/kWh [3]. Reports indicate it is presently less than 1 USD/kWh [3]. Cost is one of the primary determinants of consumer responses and WTP for green electricity or MGTs [27]. Hence, some studies find that a higher WTP is related to voluntary participation than for mandatory programmes [28]. This finding would be more contextual, region-specific and based on whether or not the location has a stable electricity grid.

Furthermore, the influence of socio-demographic factors on consumer WTP is well documented. Income has been found to be a significant socioeconomic construct in the WTP decisions [15]. Education has also been found to be positively related to WTP for green electricity [16]. Likewise, environmental attitudes and altruism were reported to be motivators in consumer WTP for green electricity [26]. The influence of age and gender while linked to WTP has been mixed [22]. In the estimation of WTP for green electricity, studies apply a variety of CVM and choice experiment models including binary logit [16] and general regression models [23].

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This section presents some background information of the study location, the rationale for the choice of location, sampling methods and other data gathering approaches followed.
Study location and rationale

The household data used for this study was drawn from urban areas in Lagos, Nigeria. An urban area is referred to as a human settlement with a population of between 2,000 to 5,000 and a population density of between 150 to 400 persons/km$^2$ [29]. At over 20 million, the city has one of the largest populations of any state in Nigeria. It was the former federal capital with leading infrastructural developments. It is the industrial and commercial centre of Nigeria with about 60% of total industrial investments and foreign trade taking place in this coastal city. It also has the largest ports in Nigeria facilitating imports and exports of goods and services.

As a central location, this metropolitan city is responsible for about 35% of national GDP. There is also increasing interest in green energy development in the city too [30]. One of the earliest studies that considered large-scale PV utilisation in urban centres focused on Lagos [31] and the above-mentioned points about the city was part of their rationale. Other more important factors for choosing Lagos as a study location include:

- Ideal solar radiation intensity of between 3.54 to 5.43 kWh/m$^2$day [4];
- High urban population and domestic energy users;
- Diverse mix of people encompassing the affluent, middleclass and poor;
- Good portfolio of dwelling types (especially those suited to roof-top PV).

Colenbrander et al. [32] found that promoting PV and other low carbon energy technologies in cities is proactive and will save expenditure on consumer utility bills, governments’ subsidies and save costs of investments in energy infrastructure. Metropolitan households have also been proven to be the most willing to pay for Renewable Energy Technologies (RETs) than rural residents [33].

Multistage cluster sampling

Using multistage cluster sampling, data was used collected. This sampling method commonly used in geographically dispersed locations like Lagos allow for the division and sub-division of a city into districts or clusters from which smaller areas can be obtained. De Vaus [34] suggests maximising the size of the initial clusters and later selecting fewer households or units. Multi-stage sampling is not uncommon in green power promotion and WTP studies [21] and is effective where there are many municipalities that present logistical challenge [35]. More recently, researchers Arega and Tardesse, applied multistage cluster sampling in their research on WTP for green electricity in urban Ethiopia [18].

Information collected from the Lagos State House of Assembly (LSHA) library and the Nigerian Meteorological Agency (NIMET) led to the effective use of multi-stage cluster sampling. The LSHA directories were used to organise the Local Government Areas (LGA) and municipalities. This approach resulted to a breakdown of Lagos State into 5 major districts known as IBILE which is an acronym for Ikeja, Badagry, Ikorodu, Lagos Island and Epe [30]. Through this approach, all the 20 LGAs and most of the 37 Local Council Development Areas (LCDAs) were covered in the survey. Figure 1 is the map of Lagos highlighting the location of the surveyed households.

![Figure 1. Map of Lagos showing location of surveyed households using IBILE zoning structure](image-url)
Ikeja is the largest and comprises of 8 LGAs including Ikeja, Oshodi-Isolo, Mushin and Shomolu LCAs, Badagry comprises of 4 LGAs including Anthony Village which is under Amuwo Odofin LCA and Badagry village, Ikorodu has 1 LGA known as Ikorodu and is the smallest of the LGAs, Lagos comprises of 5 LGAs including Lagos Island, Apapa, Lagos Mainland, Eti-Osa and Surulere, Epe has only 2 LGAs- Epe and Ibeju-Lekki. It is also a generally more affluent area than Ikeja, Badagry and Ikorodu.

Survey design and data collection

Through household survey, data were collected in Lagos, Nigeria. The data collection mode was a mixture of hand-delivery and emails. A total of 245 questionnaires were administered through the help of 10 properly trained field assistants following pilot testing. 205 questionnaires were delivered by hand and 40 sent by email. In addition to sociodemographic questions, respondents were asked to answer questions covering their awareness and knowledge of solar PV, perception, WTP for standalone PV and likelihood of participating in grid interconnectivity when made available. Respondents were also asked to rate their satisfaction levels with the existing grid distributed electricity. Of the total administered questionnaires, 200 usable responses were returned and 3 via email.

As part of the semi-structured and open-ended questionnaire design, a description of a 5 kW PV that could help meet most of the household energy demand was given. Details of how this module size was determined would be given subsequently. This description also gave the costs of this PV module based on the quotes obtained from installers. Most of the questions were rating and Likert scale types for ease in completion. However, some questions required of respondents to write freely with the ‘other, please state’ option. It is important to note that in consumer response studies carried out in fully liberalised markets of mostly developed countries, participation in green electricity programmes is assessed based on the amount households are willing to pay for electricity/kWh/month.

In most cases, the households are offered differing green electricity sources such as hydropower, biomass, solar and wind with varying tariff rates [16, 20, 28]. Because this study is in a country with immature electricity grid network and less liberalised electricity markets similar offerings could not be made. It was therefore necessary to ascertain the energy usage of the households in order to identify the suitable PV module size that would help meet the households’ energy demand. For this purpose, an energy audit for a typical home in Nigeria was drawn up (Table 1 details). Using this power audit, a 5 kW PV module was deemed ideal with 5 quotes obtained from installers to help determine prices.

Table 1. Household power audit showing appliance ratings and hours of use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appliance</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Power/Unit [W]</th>
<th>Power [W]</th>
<th>Hours in operation</th>
<th>Daily energy requirement [Wh]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refrigerator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freezer</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat screen TV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD/DVD player</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air conditioner</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceiling fan</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing fan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light bulbs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressing iron</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave oven</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blender</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washing machine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water pump</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the RETScreen data, solar PV annual output computation:
Available energy in a day = 7,843.39 kWh/365 days => 21.49 kWh
Percentage daily availability = 21.49 kWh/25.89 kWh × 100 => 83%
The above power audit was essentially conducted to ascertain the size of a solar PV system that would be suitable for the purpose of costing and ensuring adequate supply. For this purpose, solar radiation data was obtained for Lagos using the RETScreen software. This helped to determine the annual output from a 5 kWp PV module which was considered ideal following the energy audit. Often studies indicate system capacity of 3 kWp as suitable especially where heating requirements are excluded from the PV device. From the energy audit shown above, it becomes clear that a 5 kWp system will meet at least 83% of the average household’s demand. However, this will depend on the number, ratings and combination of appliances used in the dwelling.

In fact, the hypothesised and proposed 5 kWp system would meet average power demand comfortably leaving a good margin to accommodate the high starting power of refrigerators. Also, as can be observed, electric cooker was excluded from the above audit because as would be expected, given the power supply challenges, most urban households use gas cookers. This is only reasonable because electricity is highly unstable and power outages frequent. In rural areas, kerosene stoves and wood fuel are mostly used. Note also that some listed appliances have substitutes, e.g. ceiling fan and standing fan can be used in place of air conditioners and vice versa. Furthermore, many listed appliances are non-continuous loads which means that other smaller electronic devices such as mobile phones, tablets and radio can be easily accommodated. Also, if more energy-efficient appliances are used, the entire household demand would be met by the proposed system.

**Stated preference against revealed preference**

Consumer choice studies applying WTP can be done using either Stated Preference (SP) or Revealed Preference (RP) methods of elicitation [36]. This paper adopted SP due to the lack of research and market information and because SP is designed to be used when data is extracted from surveys. RP finds application where an observation of the surveyed household’s choice decisions is made from a set of alternatives in real markets [37]. Since solar PV is a relatively ‘new’ technology, it made sense to use SP due to lack of revealed preference data in studies in Africa [38]. SP has been widely used in similar studies [33]. Also, Claudy et al. [39] applied SP in their study of Irish citizens’ WTP for microgeneration technologies.

**Elicitation methods**

Contingent Valuation Method (CVM) was used because the goal of the survey questionnaire was to identify the barriers and evaluate the value to households of PV. Another common elicitation method is Conjoint Analysis (CA) [36]. CA is useful where the attributes of a product or technology is being assessed as a way of measuring acceptance. Single Bound Dichotomous Choice (SBDC) CVM was used by asking questions that required the respondents to simply answer ‘Yes’ or ‘No’ to whether or not they would be willing to pay for PV, ‘Yes’ or ‘No’ to whether or not they would prefer fiscal incentives before participation and the possibility of exporting excess generated electricity to the grid if the opportunity arises.

Under the conventional CVM studies, a bidding process is followed. The respondents are offered prices for PV or another Renewable Energy Source Electricity (RES-E). In the initial round, the respondents will have to choose ‘Yes’ or ‘No’ from listed PV prices or percentage of carbon reduction etcetera. Respondents who select ‘Yes’ to a price option are directed to a higher bid, while those who select ‘No’ are omitted from the next question. This bidding process typically follow a banding, for example; Cost of PV: a) GBP 10,000, b) GBP 15,000, c) GBP 20,000, d) GBP 25,000 and percentage GHG reduction: a) 15%, b) 20%, c) 25%, d) 30%, etc. As a bidding process is followed with rates increased or decreased accordingly based on response, the ordering of questions
becomes very important even in hypothetical studies. This presents a challenge in hand-delivered questionnaires as respondents do not necessarily complete questions sequentially. This means that there is a high likelihood of respondents seeing the next question before responding to earlier questions in the bidding process hence creating a response bias. To circumvent this and for more practical reasons, this paper followed a more straightforward bidding process similar to that of Hite et al. [40] and Nomura and Akai [24]. The respondents in this study were given a quote of GBP 16,000 for a 5 kWp PV.

The negotiation in this study involved the use of listed incentive discounts in the form of percentages rather than the previously illustrated cash bidding. The levels were 25%, 30%, 40%, 50% and 60% with the ‘other please specify’ option. To arrive at the WTP amount, the option selected by the respondent was deducted from the total quoted PV cost. For example, if a respondent selected 50%, it meant that they were WTP GBP 8,000 and so on and so forth. To gauge acceptance, the study had to assume the presence of government support incentives such that the households had to make part payment. A comparable approach was used by Kim et al. [25]. Table 2 details other biases that can arise from the use of questionnaires and bidding exercises and how they were controlled.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questionnaire design bias</th>
<th>Control approach/devised solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypothetical bias</td>
<td>The provision of part-payment option that helps to reduce total cost payable while indicating collective effort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question ordering or sequence bias</td>
<td>To elicit WTP in bidding exercises, the ordering of questions can affect responses particularly for paper or hand-delivered questionnaires. This is because respondents can view the bids before deciding on a price to choose. To manage this problem, the questionnaire was split into subsections such that links would not be easily spotted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewer or field assistant bias</td>
<td>Self-completion questionnaire is less likely to influence respondent’s answers than face-to-face interviews as respondents are known to answer ‘Yes’ when not meant, to satisfy researchers. To the best of the researcher’s knowledge, this bias is often unacknowledged. Respondents can pick up clues from titles that may influence responses, thereby affecting results. For this reason, the questionnaire title was carefully selected to minimise such bias impacting responses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

This section presents the results of the analysis that assesses the profile and characteristics of households willing to participate and pay for domestic solar PV.

Descriptive statistics

From the sample of 200 urban Lagos households, 75% were men and 25% women. Most of the respondents were between the ages of 31 and 44 years (53.5%), 29% were between 45 and 64 years, 14.5% were between 18 to 30 years, while 3% were 65 years and above. The changing family size of Nigerian households was also noted in the survey. Small households of 3 or less represented 55% of the sample. Medium-large households with over 4 persons made up 45%. Regarding education level, 85% had post-graduate education, 11% had undergraduate degree, those with secondary education represented 3% with the remainder being respondents with informal education.

In order to compare the sample on educational attainment with state and national representation, adult literacy rate data was used due to the lack of reliable statistics on national postgraduate qualifications. The national overall adult literacy of 71% with highest literacy rate was reported for urban areas in 2011. In particular, Lagos state was
shown to have adult literacy of 87.7% [41] which is relatively close to the findings from this study. However, there was no significant gender difference in educational levels as Figure 2 shows.

![Figure 2. Educational level by gender](image)

The income of the respondents was another variable of interest which could help explain the response to domestic PV for power generation. The average annual income band of the surveyed households was between GBP 12,000-20,000. About 5% had monthly income of almost GBP 8,000. 10.7% reported monthly earnings of between GBP 3,300-8,000. The proportion of households with income range of GBP 300-1,000 was 28.6%. The monthly income for about 15.8% of the respondents was between GBP 1,300-3,300. People on the lowest income band (14.3%) earned less than GBP 300 per month. Overall, for a developing country, about 31% of the respondents can be classed as medium to high income earners. It is important to note that most households in Nigeria have a secondary source of income. Reliable data could not be found on national income statistics hence representativeness on income cannot be ascertained. Nevertheless, given that many households generate income from a number of sources, it is likely that it can be higher or lower than found in this study.

Additionally, home ownership can impact WTP for microgeneration technologies. This was explored in this study. Almost 40% of the sampled population reported owning their own homes. Of this total, 25% were self-built and 10% purchased outright from property developers and 1% acquired through mortgage. A higher proportion (63%) reported renting their homes. In relation to the representativeness of the sample, the 35% home ownership found in Lagos represents 53% of national home ownership [41].

In terms of dwelling types, households who lived in duplexes and bungalows made up the second and third largest category in the property types, next only to households who lived in flats (53%). Thus, all other things being equal, a majority of the dwelling types are suitable for mounting PV modules. However, it is important to note that for dwelling types such as flats there may be roof-space limitations due to roof-size and issues that can arise from multi-occupancy dwellings which could mean that PV installations in such dwellings be ground mounted taking into consideration the practical constraints and security risks this may present.
Household Willingness To Pay for a 5 kW\textsubscript{p} standalone Photovoltaic

Overall WTP was high (49\%) in this study but higher (87\%) in the presence of government aid in the form of subsidies or incentives. The average amount households were willing to pay for a 5 kW\textsubscript{p} PV system was found to be GBP 8,000 as reflected in Figure 3. A high willingness to grid-tie when arrangements such as the feed-in-tariff and net metering becomes available was high at 72.5\%. Grid-connection would be central to increasing national generation capacity.

One way to validate mean WTP survey estimates is to compare it with non-market valuation studies or different but comparable studies [33, 38]. Average WTP for green power in Korea was about 3 times the average monthly electricity bill [25]. While a WTP of GBP 8,000 could be said to be high for a country like Nigeria, it need be remembered that it is for the purchase of a 5 kW\textsubscript{p} PV module as against the mere participation and payment for green electricity supply that the above studies focused on. Hence, the amounts ranging 17-30 USD/month that households agreed to pay in Asia and 16.33 EUR/month they were WTP quarterly in Greece [42] was only reflective of centrally supplied green electricity. Also, WTP is expected to be high for households who are desperate to see their living conditions improve from regular and more reliable electricity that domestic solar PV can guarantee.

![Figure 3. WTP for a 5 kW\textsubscript{p} domestic PV](image)

Sociodemographic factors linked to Photovoltaic valuation

The correlation between gender and WTP was also examined. The purpose of the association in the line graph in Figure 4 was not to distinguish WTP between males and females. It was primarily to show the association with respect to age and amount the respondents were prepared to pay. Age and income are prime factors impacting household-level PV adoption. The results demonstrate a higher PV valuation as a function of increase in age. Individuals aged 18-30 were less WTP more than GBP 6,000. There was a noticeable rise in WTP from ages 31-44. However, the mean average WTP was GBP 8,000.

People under 30 have been previously found to show a lower acceptance and WTP for renewables. The low WTP for PV by those aged 18-30 years could be explained by the fact that many people that age are more likely to be in an early stage of their career with less funds for such investments. In general, middle-aged groups found PV more appealing and findings corroborate with previous researches. Sardianou and Genoudi [16] gave accounts of how the middle-aged and highly educated people showed higher
WTP for MGTs. Leenheer et al. [26] found that people aged 60 and above revealed a lower intention to generate their own power from microgeneration.

Other sociodemographic factors such as income, education and home ownership revealed some relationships. Middle-higher income households showed a greater probability to pay for PV than low income groups. This was unsurprising since PV is still a premium technology. This finding is in agreement with Oliver et al. [15] who demonstrated that higher income earners were more likely to pay a premium for green electricity in South Africa. In Greece, Sardianou and Genoudi [16] reported the same for renewable self-generation. The correlation between education and adoption decisions have previously been confirmed [20].

Perhaps, this is to do with the fact that more educated people are more likely to spend the time to search for information and research a technology. However, income is not a significant determinant as previously documented [27]. This is because the WTP and participation decision is based on a number of interrelated socio-economic and environmental factors.

Regarding home ownership, a third of the surveyed respondents said they owned their homes but the correlation with participation were insignificant. It is easy to see why landlords may not worry about tenants installing PV on their buildings. It is an indication that the tenant is going to be staying in their property for a long duration ensuring a steady flow of rental income for the landlords. Also, in Nigeria, it is common for occupants in multi-occupant properties to contribute funds towards buying gasoline for generators so that everyone in the building can have electricity. Such level of cooperation amongst building occupants could help explain why housing tenure may not matter.

In Europe, Leenheer et al. [26] and Sardionou and Genoudi [16] demonstrated that home ownership was not a significant determinant of MGT adoption decision. However, in rural Kenya, home ownership was a significant factor for households’ WTP for PV [38].

Building type can also impact PV uptake decision. In this study, next to households in flats, those in duplexes and bungalows showed more willingness to participate. This may have to do with the finding that they made the bulk of the total surveyed compared to individuals in other types of dwelling. However, building type can allow or limit uptake
because the installation has to be made in such a way as to capture maximum solar radiation needed for proper function. Residents of some types of buildings found in Festac Town, Lagos (Amuwo-Odofin LCA – under Badagry) would face similar hurdles. One solution for this group would be installing community PV.

While sociodemographic factors are not the best predictors of consumer response and WTP and participate in renewables uptake, it helps understand the underlying trends that can impact adoption in a household or from a citizen’s perspective. Attitudinal, behavioural and economic factors would impact participation more because it directly links to the participation and investment decision.

**Incentive support and Willingness To Pay**

The role of incentives towards influencing PV diffusion is widely acknowledged. Results from this study also supports this theory, as when discounts in the form of Government incentives were offered, the households’ acceptance of PV increased significantly to 87%. When presented with forms of fiscal support for PV investment, a higher preference was shown for bank loans and import duty cuts compared to tax rebates. 41% showed interest in bank loans but the percentage of those who would not consider taking bank loans were much higher (59%). About 31% preferred import duty cuts. The least preference was shown for tax cuts and VAT exemptions at 10%. From the cumulative answers to the WTP elicitation question, the most preferred amount of subsidy was one that gave 50-60% discount off total PV costs. However, any discount from 30% to 60% would yield meaningful patronage for PV.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

This paper has assessed urban Nigerian households’ WTP for a hypothetical 5 kWp costing GBP 16,000. Compared to households in Asia and Africa, a very high WTP was recorded. Most households stated that they would pay if they received 50-60% discount off the total cost. In the time following the data collection and analysis, PV costs have significantly reduced due to technological learning and economies of scale. Thus, the present average cost of a 5 kWp system is about half this amount. The very high valuation by Nigerian households is a utilitarian one pointing to the subsistence needs regular electricity helps to fill.

As a rule of thumb, the accepted budget for energy services is 10% of income [38]. In Nigeria, the average household spend on electricity was 15% of total monthly income with most households reported to spend about 25% [43]. This also helps to explain the relatively high WTP a premium shown by the questionnaire respondents for improved grid electricity. Fewer respondents stated that they would be WTP if the Government provides as little as 5-10% discounts for a GBP 16,000 PV system when they had the option to choose any discount level, 60% for instance. Utility from PV could have been a factor in the high valuation. It could as well be that some of the surveyed households may have been doubtful of the possibility of the Nigerian Government assisting with PV procurement hence increasing bids.

Nevertheless, caution should be applied. Results should not be interpreted to mean that most Nigerian households have suddenly become high income earners. Notwithstanding, the steady growth of the Nigerian economy and GDP for some years now at roughly 6% would mean that households earning power has improved. Although hypothetical bias can affect consumer response studies, the lesson to take is that there is huge interest in alternative and more sustainable electricity in Lagos, Nigeria. One of the limitations of this study is that it did not consider the economic loss to households of

---

† FESTAC is a federal housing estate programme introduced in 1977 at the end of the world Festival of Arts and Culture (FESTAC) event in Lagos (Lagos State Government, Nigeria, 2014).
using private generators and inverters. It would be worth researching this using a large sample, as well as researching the economic loss to Small and Medium-scale Enterprises (SMEs) and large business owners who have more funds and roof-space.

This study has shed light on the necessity for fiscal and non-monetary incentives to promote consumer PV uptake. Household participation would help ease the overburdened national electricity infrastructure. Findings from this study while particular to the locale would also find application in regions with similar power sector challenges.
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