

http://www.sdewes.org/jsdewes



Year 2024, Volume 12, Issue 2, 1120494

**Original Research Article** 

# Low-impact Management of Produced Water: Assessing Phytodepuration with *Halocnemun Strobilaceum* and *Suaeda fruticosa*

Elisabetta Franchi<sup>1</sup>, Danilo Fusini<sup>1</sup>, Ilaria Pietrini<sup>1</sup>, Francesca Bretzel<sup>2</sup>, Andrea Scartazza<sup>2,3</sup>, Meri Barbafieri<sup>2,3</sup>, Marco Vocciante<sup>\*4</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Eni S.p.A, R&D Environmental & Biological Laboratories, S. Donato Milanese (MI), Italy e-mail: <u>elisabetta.franchi@eni.com</u>, <u>danilo.fusini@eni.com</u>, <u>ilaria.pietrini@eni.com</u>
<sup>2</sup>Research Institute on Terrestrial Ecosystems, National Research Council, Pisa, Italy e-mail: <u>francesca.bretzel@cnr.it</u>, <u>andrea.scartazza@cnr.it</u>, <u>meri.barbafieri@cnr.it</u>
<sup>3</sup>National Biodiversity Future Center (NBFC), Palermo, Italy
<sup>4</sup>Department of Chemistry and Industrial Chemistry, University of Genova, Genova, Italy e-mail: <u>marco.vocciante@unige.it</u>

Cite as: Franchi, E., Fusini, D., Pietrini, I., Bretzel, F., Scartazza, A., Barbafieri, M., Vocciante, M., Low-impact Management of Produced Water: Assessing Phytodepuration with Halocnemun Strobilaceum and Suaeda fruticosa, J.sustain. dev. energy water environ. syst., 12(2), 1120494, 2024, DOI: https://doi.org/10.13044/j.sdewes.d12.0494

# ABSTRACT

Oil-produced waters are the most abundant wastewater stream in oil exploration and production, and their treatment is one of the most expensive processes in the petroleum industry. Since one of the peculiar characteristics of oil-produced waters is the presence of high salinity, developing an effective phytoremediation system for this type of wastewater first requires the selection of salt-tolerant plants. In this contribution, Halocnemum strobilaceum (Pallas) M. Bieb. and Suaeda fruticosa (L.) Forsk. were tested in experiments with mesocosms trials at different saline concentrations and hydrocarbons to study the plants' tolerance and the hydrocarbon degradation capacity. The experimental campaign showed species-specific results: H. strobilaceum showed better tolerance to salt and hydrocarbons, and Suaeda showed better performance as hydrocarbon degradation. The metagenomic analysis carried out on the roots of the plants at the end of the test highlighted significant speciation of the microbial communities, especially evident at the family level. The differences were detected when comparing the treatments and the two plant species. The Pseudomonadaceae family was generally predominant in mesocosms with Suaeda, whereas the Halomonadaceae family was dominant in those with H. strobilaceum. In parallel, some strains showing good potential for promoting plant growth were isolated. In conclusion, the experimentation allowed to identify the operating conditions for exploiting the technology as a sustainable alternative to consolidated technologies.

# **KEYWORDS**

Wastewater, Phytodepuration, Salt-tolerant plants, Hydrocarbons degradation, Next Generation Sequencing, Hypersaline environment, Nature-based solutions, Carbon neutrality.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Oilfield-produced water (OPW) represents the most significant wastewater stream in oil exploration and production processes. As the demand for water by industries worldwide continues to grow, developing strategies to optimise water use and recovery will become increasingly critical in the coming decades [1]. However, the treatment of OPW is not trivial, usually including physical, chemical, and biological steps to meet acceptable legal

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author

requirements for discharge, making the development of efficient wastewater treatment technologies for OPW a major challenge for the oil industry [2]. Such treatments [3] address economic sustainability but raise concerns about environmental sustainability. Unfortunately, this aspect is frequently overlooked in the context of remediation activities, although they are intended to reduce the overall footprint of human activity.

However, remediation activities also have an impact on the environment because the products or chemical processes involved may consume materials and energy [4] or lead to the unwanted production of toxic byproducts (secondary contamination) [5]. It can severely affect the sustainability of the remediation intervention itself [6]. An environmentally friendly and sustainable alternative is represented by remediation technologies based on natural solutions, central among which is phytoremediation [7], an approach that uses plant species to address organic and inorganic contaminants in the target matrix by synergistically exploiting a variety of mechanisms such as extraction [8] or degradation [9].

The low cost and ease of operation, combined with the demonstrated environmental benefits [10], are fueling interest in these phytotechnologies, including in combination with other green strategies to further reduce the overall carbon footprint, such as treatment trains [11] or energy recovery from light radiation [12]. Also included in this discourse are green roofs [13] and green-based materials derived from agricultural wastes [14], which enable improved energy and environmental performance of urbanised areas by combining constructed wetland techniques with other approaches to alleviate the growing effects of climate change [15]. In particular, constructed wetlands (CWs) [16] could be an alternative method to treat OPW economically and sustainably compared to conventional methods [17]. However, OPW is also characterised by significant salinity values. Therefore, selecting halophilic species is necessary to develop an effective phytoremediation system.

The progressive increase in the desertification process caused by climate change extends hypersaline environments worldwide [18]. Rising global temperatures and precipitation variability favour wet and dry deposition of sea salt (NaCl) from precipitation and wind fluxes by stimulating the release of salts from physicochemical rock erosion. However, extreme salinity levels can also be caused by poor soil management, excessive use of fertilisers, irrigation with saline-sodic wastewater, and surface or groundwater seawater intrusion. This salinisation increase and freshwater availability decrease negatively affect crop productivity, drastically reducing arable land [19]. Seawater intrusion due to groundwater overexploitation is widely found in the coastal areas of the Italian, Spanish and Greek peninsulas and North African regions [20]. Furthermore, large coastal regions of the Arabian Gulf countries are hypersaline due to using open basins to discharge treated OPW [21]. Although the presence of trace hydrocarbons does not represent a serious problem, high salinity, with average ranges of 1–250 g L<sup>-1</sup>, is a major problem in OPW management [22]. Indeed, such high levels of NaCl are unfavourable for the growth of most plants and microorganisms. Therefore, this may represent a limiting factor for using phytoremediation to treat OPW [23]. For phytodesalination (saline phytoremediation), halophyte species are needed, representing only 1% of the world's flora.

Numerous studies have been conducted on the salinity tolerance of halophytes, and the biochemical mechanisms implemented by plants to counteract salt stress have also been investigated [24]. However, most of these studies are limited to salt concentrations typical of seawater (35 g L<sup>-1</sup>) under hydroponic culture conditions [25]. Halophilic species such as *Phragmites australis* and *Thypa latifolia* have been tested to treat relatively low salinity water production (12 g L<sup>-1</sup>) with vertical-flow CWs. *P. australis* was less sensitive than *T. latifolia* to salt concentration, effectively removing benzotriazole and benzoic acid as additives in the treated water [26]. However, most produced waters have much higher saline levels, and it is therefore essential to evaluate the ability of plants to survive and tolerate the specific saline conditions of the wastewater. In the presence of high salt concentrations, plants' first defence mechanisms are the closure of the stomata and the inhibition of photosynthesis, reducing

growth and creating severe dehydration [27]. Plant-associated microorganisms play an essential role in the evolutionary adaptation of plants to climatic stresses such as drought and salinity. Rhizospheric microorganisms and endophytes can mitigate the negative effects of water shortage and excess salt through various mechanisms, such as the solubilisation of nutrients and the production of phytohormones and exopolysaccharides [28]. Therefore, the first screening of the hypersaline response in halophytes must consider these physiological and chemical characteristics.

Some plants that colonise the humid areas typical of estuaries have been selected for the first tolerance tests to extreme saline conditions. Estuaries are bodies of water that connect the land with the sea, where the influx of freshwater dilutes the seawater. Among the species selected for testing were *Juncus maritimus* Lam., *Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud., *Halocnemum strobilaceum* (Pallas) M. Bieb. and *Suaeda fruticosa* (L.) Forsk. Following this first tolerance analysis, the two best species were chosen for experimentation in mesocosms with increasing concentrations of salts and hydrocarbons to evaluate their growth in hypersaline conditions and their potential hydrocarbon degradation activity.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Below are details on the experiments, from plant selection to mesocosm test setup.

#### Salt tolerance assessment of halophytes

Young seedlings were used to evaluate tolerance to increasing concentrations of NaCl by performing vegetative propagation with organic soil. Semi-control conditions were adopted for plant growth conducted in a greenhouse [29]. For subsequent transfer to hydroponic conditions, the soil was carefully removed from the roots, and the seedlings were then placed in plastic pots containing 3 litres of hydroponic solution. The hydroponic solution was prepared with a commercial complete liquid fertiliser, COMPO Italia s.r.l., diluted in tap water.

After verifying by visual symptoms of adequate acclimation to hydroponic conditions, the four plants were subjected to NaCl concentrations 15, 30, 50 and 100 g L<sup>-1</sup> (257, 514, 856 and 1712 mM NaCl), respectively, for 28 days. NaCl was added gradually to avoid osmotic shock, with a daily application (2.5-5 g L<sup>-1</sup> NaCl) of salt until the desired concentration was reached. For each species and salt treatment, two mesocosms were used with two plants of the same species for 40 mesocosms. The hydroponic solution (pH = 5) was replaced every 7 days, keeping the established salt and pH conditions unchanged to minimise any changes in osmotic potential.

# Evaluation of photosynthetic performance and plant biomass

Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were performed to assess the effect of treatments on photosystem II (PSII) functionality. The actual photochemical efficiency of PSII in the light ( $\Phi$ PSII) was measured under growing light conditions (~100 mmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) on leaves of each experimental plant using a pulse-amplitude-modulated fluorometer (Mini-PAM; Heinz Walz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany).

Plant biomass as dry weight was evaluated at the end of the test by drying the harvested plants in an oven at 60 °C until a constant weight was reached.

# Hydroponic mesocosm experiment

The adopted methodology for the hydrocarbon degradation test was the "hydroponic mesocosm", i.e., pots equipped with a specific water re-circulation system to assure optimal plant growth, maximising the interaction of the oil phase with plant roots. The experimental campaign was performed in a greenhouse (Figure 1), adopting the same conditions of temperature, relative humidity (RH), photosynthetic active radiation (PAR), and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration given in the previous section.



Figure 1. Picture of the experimentation in mesocosm carried out in the greenhouse

The test involved the installation of 12 hydroponic systems, which consisted of three pots of 28 L each (36 cm diameter and 38 cm height), connected by plastic tubes. Two pots of the hydroponic system were dedicated to plant cultivation. The third pot was equipped with mechanical pumps to ensure the circulation of the solution in all three pots. An air pump was connected with a tube, and an air stone lay at each pot's bottom to ensure aeration. This hydroponic system guarantees the best-growing conditions for the plants for a long-lasting experiment, avoiding the repeated change of the nutrient solution but allowing fertiliser addition when necessary.

The nutrient solution pH was monitored throughout the experiment with a portable pH meter and kept constant at a value of 5 by adding the required doses of KOH (1 N) as, for an extended period, the hydroponic solution tends to decrease the pH, probably due to the effects of the rhizosphere activity. All plants were firstly acclimatised to the greenhouse environment for about 10 days and then properly adapted and transferred to hydroponic growth systems.

Three different salt concentrations were tested  $(0, 50, 65 \text{ g L}^{-1})$  with 600 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> oil (petroleum hydrocarbons) added. The experimentation lasted 18 months, and for each plant species, six treatments were set up (Table 1) with a unique application of oil, i.e., without replenishment.

Sample	Salt [g L <sup>-1</sup> ]	Petroleum Hydrocarbons [mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	Aeration		
СТ			Yes		
SW50	50		Yes		
SWH		600	Yes		
SW50H	50	600	Yes		
SW50HnoA	50	600	No		
SW65H	65	600	Yes		

Table 1. Mesocosms treatments description: the basis of all tests in control (CT) and synthetic water (SW) pots is a nutrient solution at pH = 5

At the end of the experimental trial (18 months), plants were collected and oven-dried at 60 °C to determine the dry weight of the total biomass. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) and hydrocarbon speciation analyses were performed on water samples. The TPH content was determined according to EPA 5021A 2014 + EPA 3510C 1996 + EPA 8015C 2007, and hydrocarbon speciation was performed according to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP) methodology [30, 31], while the EPA 3510C 1996 + EPA 8270E 2018 protocol was used for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) [32, 33].

Root samples exposed to different salt and hydrocarbon treatments were examined for the metagenomic analysis of the endophytic microbial communities. Specifically, when plants were taken from the mesocosms, the root portions for metagenomic analysis were immediately

processed for DNA extraction and then subjected to metagenomic sequencing, as reported by Franchi *et al.* [9].

The bacterial strains were then characterised to determine their possession of plant growthpromoting (PGP) properties.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

As mentioned, the salt tolerance tests involved four different plant species. All species have adapted to growth in hydroponic culture but show different resistance to salt stress  $(0-15-30-50-100 \text{ g L}^{-1})$ . Resistance to salt stress was assessed by measuring the actual photochemical efficiency of photosystem II in the light ( $\Phi$ PSII). This analysis showed that up to 50 g L<sup>-1</sup> of NaCl, the species *H. strobilaceum* and *S. fruticosa* showed a slight decrease in  $\Phi$ PSII. On the other hand, the highest dose (100 g L<sup>-1</sup>) caused a strong decrease in photochemical efficiency. In contrast, in *J. maritimus* and *P. australis*, the negative effect of salt on photosystem II started at 30 g L<sup>-1</sup>, and at 50 g L<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Phi$ PSII was close to zero, as previously reported [29].

This initial tolerance analysis identified *H. strobilaceum* and *S. fruticosa* as the best species for growing under hypersaline conditions. Hence, these species were selected to test their potential hydrocarbon degrading activity in the mesocosm experiment. Accordingly, the  $\Phi$ PSII of *H. strobilaceum* and *S. fruticosa* plants growing in the mesocosm was only slightly affected by the addition of salt (50 g L<sup>-1</sup> NaCl), especially *H. strobilaceum*, which showed values of photochemical efficiency and aboveground biomass that were not significantly different from the control (Table 2).

Table 2. Actual photochemical efficiency of PSII ( $\Phi$ PSII) and aboveground biomass of
H. strobilaceum and S. fruticosa growing in mesocosms (CT, control; SW50, synthetic water with
50 g L <sup>-1</sup> of NaCl)

Sample	H. strobi	ilaceum	S. fruticosa			
	መደጠ	Biomass	ΦΡSII	Biomass		
	$\Psi$ I SII	per pot [g]	$\Psi$ 1511	per pot [g]		
CT	$0.728\pm0.009$	$24.5\pm2.5$	$0.734\pm0.006$	$33.5\pm5.6$		
SW50	$0.708\pm0.027$	$22.3\pm1.2$	$0.631\pm0.022$	$6.7 \pm 1.8$		

The results obtained from such mesocosm experiments reveal a species-specific tolerance to hypersaline conditions and the degradation of crude oil hydrocarbons for the two selected plants. *H. strobilaceum* showed better tolerance to both salinity and hydrocarbons, but *S. fruticosa* showed the best performance in hydrocarbon biodegradation.

After eight months from the oil addition, each sample showed a much lower total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) content than hydrocarbon added (T0). Moreover, vegetated mesocosms showed different TPH contents and speciation patterns depending on the plant species, salt treatment, and aeration (Figure 2).

The analysis of the hydrocarbon chain speciation (**Figure 3**) showed that after eight months, the residual TPH fraction was composed only of aliphatic chains C19–C36 and C13–C18 in vegetated and non-vegetated mesocosms. The fraction of polycyclic hydrocarbons (PAHs) seems to have been completely degraded in the mesocosms with *S. fruticosa*. At the same time, some PAH remains, even if in small quantities, in the non-aerated mesocosms (SW50HnoA) with *H. strobilaceum* (**Figure 3**).



Figure 2. Comparison of the TPH content detected in the waters of the mesocosms with the two species *S. fruticosa* and *H. strobilaceum* after eight months of experimentation (CT, control; SW, synthetic water; NV, non-vegetated; noA, non-aerated)

		Halocnemum			Suaeda				NOT VEGETATED		
μg L <sup>-1</sup>	то	SWH	SW50H	SW50HnoA	SW65H	SWH	SW50H	SW50Hnoa	SW65H	NV	NVnA
трн	368819.0	561.5	3293.5	6736.0	54.5	547.0	936.5	1095.0	182.0	1993.5	5757.5
aliphatic C5-C8	43050.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
aliphatic i C9-C12	77500.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
aliphatic C13-C18	133110.0	352.5	1386.5	2961.0	46.0	397.5	376.0	400.5	133.0	961.5	2812.0
aliphatic C19-C36	25004.0	209.0	1907.0	3657.5	8.5	149.5	560.5	694.5	74.0	1032.0	2944.5
aromatic C9-C10	11950.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
aromatic C11-C12	13400.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
aromatic C13-C22	7894.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Naphthalene	323.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fluorene	55.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Phenanthrene	149.5	0.2	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.9
Pyrene	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
Benzo (a) anthracene	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Chrysene	12.3	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Benzo (b) fluoranthene (A)	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Benzo (k) fluoranthene (B)	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Legend: T0 – Hydrocarbon added; SWH – synthetic water and 600 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Hydrocarbon; SW50H – synthetic water with 50 g L<sup>-1</sup> of NaCl and 600 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Hydrocarbon; SW50HnoA – synthetic water with 50 g L<sup>-1</sup> of NaCl and 600 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Hydrocarbon and non-aerated; SW65H – synthetic water with 65 g L<sup>-1</sup> of NaCl and 600 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Hydrocarbon; NV – SW50H non-vegetated: NVnoA – SW50H non-vegetated and not aerated

Figure 3. Data of gas chromatography – mass spectrometry analysis from the water sampled at the end of the mesocosm experiment

**Figure 3** also notes how the high saline content can affect microbial growth and activity; the mesocosms with the highest salt content (65 g  $L^{-1}$ ) showed the lowest TPH content.

At the end of the experiment, a metagenomic analysis was performed of the endophytes related to the roots of the samples coming from the three SW treatments, SW50H50, SWH50noA, and SW65H (Figure 4).

In **Figure 4**, metagenomic information is expressed as a relative abundance percentage. Therefore, the greater the presence of a particular group of microorganisms, the more significant the percentage shown in the histogram.



Figure 4. Metagenomic analysis of the roots from *S. fruticosa* and *H. strobilaceum* at the end of the trial; the graph shows that the different experimental conditions led to significant family speciation

The metagenomics results confirmed the specificity of the two plants by showing significant speciation in the detected microbial communities, especially at the family level, which proved to be a more meaningful comparison than Phylum or Genera (a greater difference in the percentage of occurrence in the different samples analysed). The Pseudomonadaceae family appears to prevail in mesocosms with *S. fruticosa*, while the Halomonadaceae family is more abundant in those with *H. strobilaceum* (Figure 4). Halophytes are naturally salt-tolerant plants that have evolved to grow in saline soils, and this evolution is coupled with the selection of the rhizosphere microorganisms associated with their roots. However, while the plant physiology of these plants, and in particular the mechanisms involved in salt tolerance, have been studied extensively, little is known about the potential contribution of the rhizobacteria associated with these plants, particularly the endophytes, which can contribute significantly to mitigating adverse conditions and thus supporting plant growth. In this regard, endophytes isolated and characterised for their growth-promoting properties are shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Isolated endophytes and related in vitro plant growth-promoting; the + sign indicates the presence of the PGP property, and the – sign its absence; each strain was assigned a score calculated considering the number of PGP properties displayed

The effect of selected endophytes on biomass growth, photochemical efficiency, and general stress resistance requires a new ad hoc experimental campaign, which will be the subject of future contributions.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Phytoremediation is an *in-situ* technology that is intrinsically applicable over large areas. However, salinity usually places severe limitations on using plants as a means of remediation.

The preliminary activity described concerns identifying and selecting halophilic species potentially suitable for growing/surviving in evaporation ponds where the water used for oil extraction is only partially collected, as most of it is reinjected into the wells.

The halophilic species *H. strobilaceum* and *S. fruticosa*, selected from previous research, were subjected to mesocosm experiments with the simultaneous introduction of salt and oil. The results revealed distinct responses to saline conditions and different abilities to degrade the hydrocarbons present in the crude oil sample. Consistent with previous findings, *H. strobilaceum* demonstrated greater resilience to hypersalinity, with minimal effects on photosynthetic efficiency and only a slight decrease in biomass. Conversely, *S. fruticosa* experienced a significant reduction in biomass and a slight decrease in photosynthetic efficiency. At the same time, hydrocarbon analysis indicated that mesocosms containing *S. fruticosa* outperformed those with *H. strobilaceum* in hydrocarbon reduction.

Metagenomic analysis of plant root-associated microbial communities shows significant and interesting differences between experimental conditions and between the two species. Further studies would test the effect of adding isolated endophytic bacteria on improving plants' resistance to hypersalinity and hydrocarbon reduction.

It is, therefore, a system that is technically applicable to real conditions and can be easily implemented, for example, in terrestrial areas where space is not a limiting factor and where evaporation ponds already exist. The possibility of applying an approach such as phytoremediation under the above conditions is an environmentally sustainable and desirable option, and this investigation allowed us to define an area where the technology could be used as an alternative to the consolidated technologies for treating produced water.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The research was supported and fully funded by Eni S.p.A. (contract N. 3500047415, within the framework agreement N. 4400003660). The authors thank Irene Rosellini, Manuele Scatena, Annalisa Meucci, and Valerio Lazzeri (IRET-CNR) for technical assistance.

### NOMENCLATURE

#### Abbreviations

CT	Control
CW	Constructed Wetland
PAH	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon
PGP	Plant Growth Promoting
PS	Photosystem
ΦPS	Photochemical Efficiency of Photosystem
SW	Synthetic Water
TPH	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

# REFERENCES

- 1. A. Ferrucci and M. Vocciante, "Improved management of water resources in process industry by accounting for fluctuations of water content in feed streams and products," Journal of Water Process Engineering, vol. 39, p. 101870, Feb. 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101870.
- 2. K. T. Amakiri, A. R. Canon, M. Molinari, and A. Angelis-Dimakis, "Review of oilfield produced water treatment technologies," Chemosphere, vol. 298, p. 134064, Jul. 2022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.134064.
- 3. B. Mundim, I. Volschan Junior, and B. S. Hoffmann, "Evaluation of the environmental and economic performance of wastewater treatment technologies of warm climate regions," Journal of Sustainable Development of Energy, Water and Environment Systems, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 1–17, Dec. 2023, https://doi.org/10.13044/j.sdewes.d11.0472.

- 4. A. Pietro Reverberi, M. Vocciante, M. Salerno, M. Ferretti, and B. Fabiano, "Green Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles by Low-Energy Wet Bead Milling of Metal Spheres," Materials, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 63, Dec. 2019, https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13010063.
- 5. L. Pietrelli, S. Ferro, A. Pietro Reverberi, and M. Vocciante, "Removal and recovery of heavy metals from tannery sludge subjected to plasma pyro-gasification process," J Clean Prod, vol. 273, p. 123166, Nov. 2020, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123166.
- 6. M. Vocciante, V. Dovì, and S. Ferro, "Sustainability in ElectroKinetic Remediation Processes: A Critical Analysis," Sustainability, vol. 13, no. 2, p. 770, Jan. 2021, https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020770.
- M. Grifoni et al., "Soil Remediation: Towards a Resilient and Adaptive Approach to Deal with the Ever-Changing Environmental Challenges," Environments, vol. 9, no. 2, p. 18, Jan. 2022, https://doi.org/10.3390/environments9020018.
- 8. F. Pedron et al., "Applicability of a Freundlich-Like Model for Plant Uptake at an Industrial Contaminated Site with a High Variable Arsenic Concentration," Environments, vol. 4, no. 4, p. 67, Sep. 2017, https://doi.org/10.3390/environments4040067.
- 9. E. Franchi et al., "Nature-Based Solutions for Restoring an Agricultural Area Contaminated by an Oil Spill," Plants, vol. 11, no. 17, p. 2250, Aug. 2022, https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11172250.
- 10. M. Vocciante, A. de Folly D'Auris, E. Franchi, G. Petruzzelli, and S. Ferro, "CO2 footprint analysis of consolidated and innovative technologies in remediation activities," J Clean Prod, vol. 297, p. 126723, May 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126723.
- 11. A. Conte et al., "Dealing with complex contamination: A novel approach with a combined bio-phytoremediation strategy and effective analytical techniques," J Environ Manage, vol. 288, p. 112381, Jun. 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112381.
- F. Pedron et al., "New Light on Phytoremediation: The Use of Luminescent Solar Concentrators," Applied Sciences, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 1923, Feb. 2021, https://doi.org/10.3390/app11041923.
- 13. G. Rizzo, L. Cirrincione, M. La Gennusa, G. Peri, and G. Scaccianoce, "Green Roofs' End of Life: A Literature Review," Energies (Basel), vol. 16, no. 2, p. 596, Jan. 2023, https://doi.org/10.3390/en16020596.
- P. Llorach-Massana et al., "Environmental assessment of a new building envelope material derived from urban agriculture wastes: the case of the tomato plants stems," Int J Life Cycle Assess, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 813–827, Jul. 2023, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-023-02152-2.
- 15. C. Capitano, L. Cirrincione, G. Peri, G. Rizzo, and G. Scaccianoce, "A simplified method for the indirect evaluation of the 'embodied pollution' of natural stones (marble) working chain to be applied for achieving the Ecolabel brand of the product," J Clean Prod, vol. 362, p. 132576, Aug. 2022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132576.
- 16. A. Farzi, S. M. Borghei, and M. Vossoughi, "The use of halophytic plants for salt phytoremediation in constructed wetlands," Int J Phytoremediation, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 643–650, Jul. 2017, https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2016.1278423.
- M. S. Fountoulakis, G. Sabathianakis, I. Kritsotakis, E. M. Kabourakis, and T. Manios, "Halophytes as vertical-flow constructed wetland vegetation for domestic wastewater treatment," Science of The Total Environment, vol. 583, pp. 432–439, Apr. 2017, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.090.
- J. R. Tweedley, S. R. Dittmann, A. K. Whitfield, K. Withers, S. D. Hoeksema, and I. C. Potter, "Hypersalinity: Global Distribution, Causes, and Present and Future Effects on the Biota of Estuaries and Lagoons," in Coasts and Estuaries, Elsevier, 2019, pp. 523–546, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814003-1.00030-7.
- 19. "Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Food and Agriculture: Key to Achieving the 2030, Agenda for Sustainable Development," vol. Job No. I5499. Rome, Italy, 2016, <u>https://www.fao.org/3/i5499e/i5499e.pdf</u>, [Accessed:19-November-2023].

- 20. K. Ben Hamed et al., "Halophyte based Mediterranean agriculture in the contexts of food insecurity and global climate change," Environ Exp Bot, vol. 191, p. 104601, Nov. 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2021.104601.
- B. T. Yasseen and R. F. Al-Thani, "Endophytes and Halophytes to Remediate Industrial Wastewater and Saline Soils: Perspectives from Qatar," Plants, vol. 11, no. 11, p. 1497, Jun. 2022, https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11111497.
- A. Fakhru'l-Razi, A. Pendashteh, L. C. Abdullah, D. R. A. Biak, S. S. Madaeni, and Z. Z. Abidin, "Review of technologies for oil and gas produced water treatment," J Hazard Mater, vol. 170, no. 2–3, pp. 530–551, Oct. 2009, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.05.044.
- 23. A. A. Jimoh, O. O. Ikhimiukor, and R. Adeleke, "Prospects in the bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminants from hypersaline environments: A review," Environmental Science and Pollution Research, vol. 29, no. 24, pp. 35615–35642, May 2022, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-19299-4.
- 24. M.-N. Grigore and O. Vicente, "Wild Halophytes: Tools for Understanding Salt Tolerance Mechanisms of Plants and for Adapting Agriculture to Climate Change," Plants, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 221, Jan. 2023, https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12020221.
- 25. A. K. Parida, S. K. Veerabathini, A. Kumari, and P. K. Agarwal, "Physiological, Anatomical and Metabolic Implications of Salt Tolerance in the Halophyte Salvadora persica under Hydroponic Culture Condition," Front Plant Sci, vol. 7, Mar. 2016, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00351.
- 26. T. V. Wagner et al., "Effects of salinity on the treatment of synthetic petroleum-industry wastewater in pilot vertical flow constructed wetlands under simulated hot arid climatic conditions," Environmental Science and Pollution Research, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 2172–2181, Jan. 2021, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10584-8.
- 27. T. J. Flowers and T. D. Colmer, "Salinity tolerance in halophytes\*," New Phytologist, vol. 179, no. 4, pp. 945–963, Sep. 2008, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02531.x.
- Y. Ma, M. C. Dias, and H. Freitas, "Drought and Salinity Stress Responses and Microbe-Induced Tolerance in Plants," Front Plant Sci, vol. 11, Nov. 2020, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.591911.
- 29. M. Barbafieri et al., "Response to Hypersalinity of Four Halophytes Growing in Hydroponic Floating Systems: Prospects in the Phytomanagement of High Saline Wastewaters and Extreme Environments," Plants, vol. 12, no. 9, p. 1737, Apr. 2023, https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12091737.
- 30. MADEP (Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection). "Method for the determination of volatile petroleum hydrocarbons (vph) by gas chromatography/photoionisation detector/flame ionisation detector madep vph" rev. 2.1.2018, <a href="https://www.mass.gov/doc/method-for-the-determination-of-volatile-petroleum-hydrocarbons-vph-by-gcms-0/download">https://www.mass.gov/doc/method-for-the-determination-of-volatile-petroleum-hydrocarbons-vph-by-gcms-0/download</a>, [Accessed:19-November-2023].
- 31. MADEP (Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection), "Method for the determination of extractable petroleum hydrocarbons (eph)" rev 2.1, 2019, <u>https://www.mass.gov/doc/massdep-eph-method-0/download</u>, [Accessed:19-November-2023]
- 32. United States Environmental Protection Agency, "Method 3510C (SW-846): Separatory Funnel Liquid-Liquid Extraction" United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA, 1996, <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-12/documents/3510c.pdf</u>, [Accessed:19-November-2023].
- 33. United States Environmental Protection Agency, "Method 8270E (SW-846): Semivolatile Organic Compounds by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)" United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA, 2018, https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/201704/documents/method 8260d update vi fin al 03-13-2017 0.pdf, [Accessed:19-November-2023].

Franchi, E., Fusini, D., *et al.* Low-impact Management of Produced Water: Assessing...



Paper submitted: 20.11.2023 Paper revised: 26.02.2024 Paper accepted: 29.02.2024