

http://www.sdewes.org/jsdewes



Year 2025, Volume 13, Issue 3, 1130596

Original Research Article

Assessing the Urban Climate Resilience of Cities in Hungary Using an Index-based Approach

Judit Pécsinger¹, Gergely Zoltán Macher¹, Dalma Bódizs¹, Dóra Sipos¹, Éva Veronika Pestiné Rácz¹

¹Széchenyi István University, Hungary, Győr e-mail: pecsinger.judit@sze.hu

Cite as: Pécsinger, J., Macher, G. Z., Bódizs, D., Sipos, D., Pestiné Rácz, E. V., Assessing the Urban Climate Resilience of Cities in Hungary Using an Index-based Approach, J.sustain. dev. energy water environ. syst., 13(3), 1130596, 2025, DOI: https://doi.org/10.13044/j.sdewes.d13.0596

ABSTRACT

Climate resilience in urban areas is increasingly critical in the face of climate change, particularly in regions where climate variability poses significant challenges. This study introduces the Climate Resilience Index for Town Sustainability, a novel, multidimensional framework designed to evaluate the resilience of 19 Hungarian cities, including Budapest and county capitals. The framework incorporates 41 parameters across environmental, social, and infrastructural dimensions, addressing significant gaps in existing resilience assessments by providing a region-specific, holistic evaluation. The research employs advanced statistical techniques, including principal component analysis and k-means clustering, to analyze the data sourced from the Hungarian Central Statistical Office and the National Adaptation Geo-Information System. This analysis revealed substantial variability in resilience scores among Hungarian county capitals, with Békéscsaba achieving the highest scores due to its extensive green infrastructure, renewable energy adoption, and lower proportion of vulnerable populations. In contrast, Budapest recorded one of the lowest scores, highlighting challenges such as limited green spaces, high population density, and elevated energy consumption. Clustering analysis grouped the cities into eight distinct categories, emphasizing the role of geographic and climatic factors in shaping urban resilience. The findings demonstrate the critical importance of targeted interventions, such as expanding green infrastructure, improving energy efficiency, and enhancing sustainable practices. By offering actionable insights for policymakers, this index not only advances resilience research but also provides a replicable framework adaptable to other regions. Its innovative approach to integrating multidimensional parameters represents a significant contribution to the understanding and improvement of urban climate resilience in a changing world.

KEYWORDS

Climate Change, urban resilience, index-based analysis, sustainability, adaptation, "CRITS index"

INTRODUCTION

In this study, resilience is defined according to the IPCC AR6 Glossary [1] as 'the capacity of interconnected social, economic and ecological systems to cope with a hazardous event, trend or disturbance, responding or reorganising to maintain their essential functions, identity, and structure' This definition underscores the importance of maintaining system functionality and

identity in the face of challenges, aligning closely with the objectives of climate adaptation and urban sustainability.

The notion of climate resilience [2] refers to the ability of a system [3], whether individual or collective, to adapt to changing climate conditions and recover from climate change damage. It also involves the capacity to continue functioning and evolving while finding solutions and strategies to address climate change challenges. The importance of climate resilience [4] lies in its ability to help reduce the impacts and risks of climate change and increase social and economic resilience and stability in changing circumstances. Enhancing resilience to climate change is essential for enabling individuals and societies to adapt to evolving climate conditions, recover from climate-related impacts, and maintain functionality and stability in the face of future challenges [5]. As cities and urban areas are particularly vulnerable to climate-related shocks and stresses, increasing resilience has become a key objective of adaptation and mitigation efforts outlined by IPCC WGIII [6]. Frequently used terms such as 'climate resilience', 'climate proofing' and 'resilient city' emphasise the idea that cities, urban systems and urban constituencies need to be able to recover quickly from climate-related shocks and stressors. Climate change poses significant challenges and risks for urban areas, such as an increase in extreme weather events and impacts on ecosystem services [7]. Effectively addressing these challenges requires an integrated modelling approach to assess climate change impacts on urban extremes and ecosystem services [8]. This approach involves combining different models simulating climate, urban dynamics and ecosystem processes to understand the complex interactions and feedback between climate and urban systems [9]. Chohan et al. [10] investigated the climate resilience of traditional adobe-built mansions in the UAE, highlighting the Al Midfa manor's thermal efficiency and sustainability. Simulation-based improvements, including new glazing and cellulose insulation, enhanced energy efficiency while preserving the building's aesthetics, demonstrating the resilience potential of traditional housing designs.

Climate resilience indexing involves quantifying and contrasting the capacity of various regions or societies to adjust to the challenges posed by climate change. To develop a comprehensive Climate Resilience Index (CRI), studies have proposed indices tailored to specific contexts. Several indices and models have been developed in the literature to understand and measure the level of resilience (Table 1).

Asmamaw et al. [11] introduced the Climate Resilience Index based on absorptive, adaptive, and transformative capacities to assess the resilience of households to climate change-induced shocks in Ethiopia. The primary determinants of climate resilience were accessibility to livelihood resources, income diversification, infrastructure, and social capital. Limited resilience was attributed to recurrent shocks, underdeveloped public services, and limited livelihood strategies-Moreover, Sono et al. [12] constructed the Composite National Climate Resilience Index (CNCRI) for Sub-Saharan Africa, offering a metric-based approach to assess climate resilience at a national level. The study evaluated the climate resilience of the countries in Sub-Saharan Africa using a set of 40 indicators (in five dimensions: social, economic, infrastructural, environmental and institutional). To create a Climate Resilience Index, multiple studies have introduced new tools and approaches for evaluating resilience across various contexts. One prominent framework is the Climate Disaster Resilience Index (CDRI), which has been utilized in various studies to evaluate urban resilience. For instance, Mukherjee et al. employed the CDRI to assess the resilience of urban slums in Barishal, Bangladesh, showcasing its utility in measuring urban disaster resilience [13]. This index is designed to capture the multifaceted nature of urban resilience, including social, economic, and infrastructural dimensions. The Climate Disaster Resilience Index (CDRI) encompasses five dimensions, 25 parameters, and 125 variables, addressing key aspects of urban disaster resilience, with a focus on interrelated city services. For instance, the physical dimension-covering roads, electricity, housing, sanitation, waste disposal, and water—emphasizes that a disaster-resilient city ensures essential services for its residents [13].

Dincer and Ercoşkun [14] investigate the challenges of urban resilience and climate change within the context of advancing technological landscapes. The objective of the research is to

develop an urban resilience index that holistically captures the dimensions of physical infrastructure, environmental and climatic factors, as well as socioeconomic dynamics.

Gahi et al. [15] developed a fresh index to assess water resources in Burkina Faso, focusing on vulnerability analysis and resilience indicators. Cleves et al. [16] proposed structural and linkage indicators to assess agroecosystem resilience to climate variability. Harwell et al. [17] emphasized the importance of indicators and indices in addressing complex scientific questions related to resilience. The SDEWES City Index assesses the sustainability of 18 cities in South East Europe, it is indirectly related to climate resilience. The index measures the performance of urban energy, water and environmental systems and helps to identify cities as pioneering, transitional, transitioning, solution-seeking or challenging. Although the SDEWES Index focuses primarily on technical performance indicators, it also includes a sixth dimension encompassing urban planning and social welfare, which is relevant for city-level sustainability planning. This aspect is often underrepresented in summary descriptions and should be acknowledged when considering the broader implications of urban resilience [18].

A few studies offer insights for the development of a climate resilience index for Hungary. Mohammed et al.'s [19] research emphasizes the significance of agricultural practices in bolstering resilience to climate change impacts. Pinke and Löveï's [20] work underscores the vulnerability of Hungarian agriculture to weather extremes such as droughts and proposes landscape adaptation and restoration to enhance resilience. Furthermore, Li et al. [21] study investigates farmers' perceptions of climate change risks and their adaptive behaviour in Hungary, offering pertinent information for crafting indicators for a climate resilience index. Greutter-Gregus in 2022 [22] discusses the findings of the environmental index for the largest Hungarian cities in both the easternmost and westernmost counties selected based on their population size. In the Hungarian context, Sebestyén et al. [23] adapted the SDEWES City Index to assess the sustainability performance of Veszprém and Zalaegerszeg, focusing on urban energy consumption, environmental pressures, and infrastructure efficiency. Their results identified key strengths and deficiencies, particularly related to renewable energy integration and the availability of green spaces. While their research concentrated primarily on technical sustainability indicators, the present study builds upon and expands this approach by integrating social and infrastructural dimensions to provide a more holistic assessment of climate resilience in Hungarian urban areas.

Despite significant progress, current resilience assessments often fail to capture the multifaceted nature of urban systems. Existing indices tend to focus on singular aspects, such as disaster recovery [13] or household-level impacts, and are frequently designed for broad global applications [12], overlooking regional nuances. Hungary presents a unique case for resilience assessment due to its diverse urban landscape, characterized by variations in green infrastructure, energy consumption, and demographic profiles. To address these gaps, this study introduces the Climate Resilience Index for Town Sustainability (CRITS), a novel framework that integrates environmental, social, and infrastructural dimensions into a cohesive, region-specific evaluation. The CRITS index's adaptation to the Hungarian context represents a critical innovation. It explicitly accounts for regional variations, including differences in climate conditions, infrastructure availability, and socioeconomic disparities. This localized approach contrasts with global or regional frameworks that employ generalized indicators, which are less effective in addressing the specific vulnerabilities and strengths of Hungarian cities. By incorporating 41 tailored parameters and normalizing the data to ensure comparability, the CRITS index captures the unique challenges faced by Hungarian cities, such as disparities in green infrastructure, renewable energy use, and aging demographics.

Table 1. Comparison of recent studies on the urban resilience index

Index	Model	Indicator	Identified gaps in research	Resource

- Tren mod	nds, simulation lel	Power consumption, CO ₂ emissions, thermal comfort, and daylight use	Focuses specifically on architectural approach, not multidimensional (e.g. lack of social, economic indicators).	[10]
Climate Print Resilience com Index (CRI) anal weig	cipal ponent ysis (PCA), ght	Social capital, access to infrastructure, income diversification, access to resources.	Used mainly at household level, not in an urban context. Does not take into account a broad spectrum of climate impacts (e.g. energy use, green infrastructure).	[11]
Composite Agg National com Climate indic Resilience Index (CNCRI)	regation of posite cators	Social, economic infrastructural, environmental and institutional.	International, not local focus (averaged values). Lack of statistical validation (e.g. PCA or clustering).	[12]
Climate Agg Disaster Resilience Index (CDRI)	regation	Social, economic and infrastructural dimensions	Focus on disasters, not general climate impacts. Does not consider complex interactions between climate and economic systems.	[13]
Urban 0-1 1 Resilience s Index 0-2	Normalization, sum of index values, Arcgis Pro 2.9 software	Physical infrastructure, environmental and climatic factors, socioeconomic dynamics	Local focus (Ankara), no universal methodological framework. Does not integrate social or economic dimensions in a comprehensive way.	[14]
SDEWES Norr City Index aggr unce anal	malisation, regation and ertainly yses	Urban energy, water and environmental systems performance; urban planning and social welfare	. It focuses mainly on technical performance metrics (energy, water, environmental systems), but also includes an underrepresented sixth dimension concerning urban planning and social welfare, which is relevant for city-level sustainability planning.	[18]
EnvironmentalNor	malization,	6 environmental	Few indicators used, Narrow study area,	
Urban simp Resilience indic Index (EURI)	ble summary of cators	and infrastructure indicators	Lack of statistical validation.	[22]

The CRITS index also employs advanced statistical techniques, such as principal component analysis and k-means clustering, to validate the reliability of the indicators and identify meaningful patterns among cities. These methods enable a more robust differentiation of resilience levels across urban areas and provide a basis for targeted policy interventions. In contrast, many existing studies rely on simpler aggregation approaches, which may overlook the complex interdependencies between indicators. The inclusion of clustering allows cities with

Journal of Sustainable Development of Energy, Water and Environment Systems

similar characteristics to share best practices and collaboratively address common challenges, an innovation absent from most comparable indices.

Furthermore, the CRITS index uniquely accounts for the cumulative positive and negative impacts of various factors on resilience. By distinguishing between attributes that enhance or diminish a city's adaptive capacity, the index provides a clearer understanding of areas needing improvement. For instance, indicators such as the availability of green spaces and renewable energy are assigned positive weights, while high energy consumption or limited green infrastructure are considered negative. This dual perspective ensures a balanced and transparent evaluation, which significantly improves upon frameworks that fail to differentiate between beneficial and detrimental factors. The significance of this work lies in its ability to inform actionable policy decisions that can enhance urban climate resilience in Hungary. By identifying the most vulnerable cities to climate change and highlighting specific areas for improvement, the CRITS index provides a practical tool for policymakers. Moreover, its multidimensional framework can be adapted to other regions, making it a significant advancement in the broader field of climate resilience assessment. In summary, the CRITS index not only fills critical scientific gaps but also advances the field by offering a novel, integrated, and context-sensitive approach to urban resilience evaluation.

The reliability of the index developed in this study is supported by the clustering analysis of urban areas. The primary aims of this research are to investigate the following five key hypotheses:

- Hypothesis 1: Cities with higher CRITS scores will show a statistically significant lower frequency and severity of climate-related emergencies compared to cities with lower CRITS scores. Cities with higher CRITS scores will show a statistically significant reduction in the frequency and severity of climate-related emergencies compared to cities with lower CRITS scores.
- Hypothesis 2: The integration of sustainable energy sources and waste management systems, as reflected in the CRITS scores, are positively correlated with the overall climate resilience of urban areas.
- Hypothesis 3: Urban areas with extensive green infrastructure and public amenities, as indicated by the CRITS, will exhibit greater social resilience and improved public health outcomes in response to climate stressors.
- Hypothesis 4: The clustering of cities based on CRITS scores will align with geographic and climatic variations, indicating that local environmental conditions play a critical role in shaping urban resilience.
- Hypothesis 5: The application of principal component analysis and k-means clustering to the CRITS dataset will effectively group Hungarian cities based on their resilience capacity, providing a foundation for targeted policy interventions.

The interdependencies between various urban activities and sectors underlie city resilience, particularly in the realm of energy systems and green infrastructure. This study investigates how the integration of sustainable energy practices and the expansion of green infrastructure can bolster urban resilience. By tackling these pivotal areas, the study highlights the systemic qualities of urban resilience and offers actionable guidance for city-level sustainability planning.

This study provides notable advancements in the measurement and evaluation of climate resilience, with a particular focus on Hungarian cities. The key contributions of this research are summarised as follows:

— This study introduces a novel, multidimensional index known as the Climate Resilience Index for Town Sustainability (CRITS), which assesses and compares the climate resilience of Hungarian municipalities. This index outperforms previous research by incorporating diverse data sources, enabling a more comprehensive evaluation of urban resilience to climate change impacts.

- The CRITS index represents a comprehensive data integration system that incorporates a
 more extensive array of data sources relative to prior resilience assessment frameworks.
 This expanded data integration improves the accuracy and reliability of urban resilience
 evaluations in the context of climate change.
- The reliability of the CRITS index was validated using k-clustering analysis, effectively categorizing cities into distinct resilience groups based on their unique characteristics. This analysis facilitates tailored policy interventions that address the specific needs and challenges of each resilience group, enhancing the effectiveness of climate change adaptation and mitigation strategies.

METHOD

All relevant statistical indicators were collected from the Hungarian Central Statistical Office [24] and the National Adaptation Geo-Information System [25] for the 18 county capitals and Hungary's capital, Budapest. This included demographic and settlement data, meteorological indices, and information on building structure and sustainable energy usage. Data from 2022 were utilized in this analysis. The indicators used in the CRITS index focus on urban resilience factors relevant to Hungarian municipalities. While these indicators reflect the specific characteristics of Hungarian cities, similar or additional parameters may be relevant for other urban contexts depending on local conditions and challenges. This flexibility makes the CRITS index adaptable to different regions, providing a versatile tool for assessing urban resilience across diverse environments.

After excluding irrelevant data and obvious redundancies from these 205 parameters, per capita values for all population-related (non-meteorological) features were calculated (Figure 1). A comprehensive correlation matrix was then computed to investigate further interdependencies among the remaining 71 parameters. To reduce redundancy and ensure relevance, a correlation analysis (threshold: r>0) was applied, retaining only one parameter per highly correlated group. A total of 41 indicators were selected for their significance in influencing climate resilience. Indicators were classified as positive or negative based on their impact on resilience (e.g., green spaces were assigned a positive weight, while high energy consumption was assigned a negative weight). (Table 2). Detailed indicator-impact relationships are presented in the Appendix (Table 4).



Figure 1. Data processing from statistical data to the final selected factors. Abbreviations of data sources: HCSO= the Hungarian Central Statistical Office and NAGIS=the National Adaptation Geo-Information System.

The CRITS index for each city (I_{city}) is calculated as the signed sum of normalized factor values as follows (1). All indicators were normalized to ensure comparability across cities with varying scales and units. A scaling parameter (d=±1) was applied to denote the direction of impact on resilience (positive or negative).

$$I_{city} = \sum_{i} d \, \frac{x_i - \overline{x}_i}{\sigma_i} \tag{1.}$$

where x_i denotes the value of ith indicator parameter for the given city, and \overline{x}_i denotes the average value and σ_i the standard deviation of indicator parameter *i* (in this case *i*=1,2,..,41), while *d* is a scaling parameter.

The CRITS index quantifies a city's climate resilience by summing the normalized values of selected factors, allowing comparisons across various resilience dimensions. Normalizing the data ensures comparability between indicators that have diverse units or scales, making the analysis more consistent. The signed sum approach captures both positive and negative impacts of urban attributes on resilience. Beneficial factors—such as the availability of green spaces and renewable energy use—are assigned positive weights. In contrast, detrimental factors—like high energy consumption and limited access to green spaces—receive negative weights.

This method simplifies calculations and improves transparency by treating all factors as equally important. However, this unweighted approach might overlook real-world priorities, where certain factors significantly influence resilience outcomes. Future research could explore alternative weighting methods, incorporating stakeholder preferences or expert assessments, to refine the index and align it more closely with specific urban resilience goals.

Table 2. Applied Indicators grouped by their effect on resilience. The indicator's per capita values were used in CRITS, except for meteorological parameters marked with *.

Indicators reducing resilience	Positive resilience indicators
Area of the municipality (km ²)	Public drinking fountains (piece)
Population aged 60 or over (persons)	Length of public water network (km)
Total volume of water supplied (1,000 m ³)	Length of public sewerage (km)
Total volume of wastewater discharged to	Length of low voltage electrical
public sewerage (1,000 m ³)	distribution network (km)
Liquid waste transported directly	Length of gas nine-network (km)
to the wastewater treatment plant (1,000 m ³)	Length of gas pipe-network (kin)
Volume of electricity supplied	Local government-owned green areas
to households (1,000 kWh)	(m ²)
Number of electricity consumers (persons)	Area of playgrounds, exercise tracks, and rest areas (m ²)
Total volume of electricity supplied (1,000 kWh)	
Total amount of supplied nined gas	Length of municipal bicycle paths and
(without conversion) (1.000 m^3)	combined pedestrian and bicycle paths
	(km)
Total number of gas consumers (persons)	
Regularly cleaned public areas $(1,000 \text{ m}^2)$	Length of Municipal Pavements (km)
Waste removed from households (t)	Vertical load-bearing wall: brick (piece)
Area of paved internal roads in regularly	Vertical load-bearing wall: adobe (piece)
cleaned public spaces (1,000 m ²)	
Area of municipal paved roads and public	Dwellings with heat pump systems
spaces $(1,000 \text{ m}^2)$	
Area of national public roads (1,000 m ²)	Dwellings with solar panels (piece)
Dwelling stock (piece)	Dwellings with solar collector (piece)
Built dwellings (piece)	
Vertical load bearing wall:	
pre-fabricated framework (piece)	
Vertical load bearing wall: concrete (piece)	
Dwellings with Air-conditioning (piece)	
Increase in pineal temperature (°C)	
*Number of heat days (number of hot days) (piece)	
*Average rainfall yearly (mm)	
*Global radiation	
*Number of heatwave days (piece)	
*Number of frosty days in spring (piece)	
*Number of rainfall days exceeding 30 mm (piece)	

A potential future enhancement of the CRITS index could involve weighting various factors based on their relative significance in contributing to urban resilience. For example, higher weights could be assigned to renewable energy adoption and green infrastructure due to their direct impact on energy security and environmental sustainability. These weights could be determined through expert assessments or statistical techniques, such as principal component analysis, which identify the most influential factors in resilience evaluation.

The k-means clustering algorithm [26] was used to detect clusters of cities with similar characteristics by taking into account both the final data and a large subset of the initial database. Before conducting clustering, the data were standardized to ensure equal contributions from all variables in the process. The ideal number of clusters was determined using silhouette score analysis.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was applied for dimensionality reduction [27], resulting in two principal components. These principal components are linear combinations of the original variables, with each component capturing a specific direction of maximum variance in the dataset. The first principal component accounts for the largest variation in the data, while the second captures the next largest variance in a direction orthogonal to the first. Together, these components facilitate the visualization and interpretation of cluster outcomes in a two-dimensional space.

Cluster analysis offers several benefits for studying the climate resilience of municipalities. First, it groups municipalities with analogous characteristics, enabling the identification of similarities and differences among them. This information can inform policymakers' design of targeted strategies and interventions tailored to the needs of municipalities facing the greatest climate-related challenges. Furthermore, cluster analysis assists helps identify municipalities that are particularly vulnerable to significant climate risks, facilitating the effective planning of protective measures against various climate hazards. Lastly, this method highlights successful climate resilience practices that can be adopted by other municipalities. The data analysis and clustering were performed using Python (pandas, scikit-learn packages), a versatile programming language widely used in data science [28].

The Climate Resilience Index for Town Sustainability considers a range of data, including weather, social, infrastructure, and climate information, to provide a comprehensive assessment of a region's or city's resilience.

RESULTS

The aim of this section is to present the analysis results, highlighting similarities and differences between cities, and identifying further development opportunities to support targeted policy interventions. Certain cities may be more vulnerable to the impacts of climate change than others, depending on factors such as their geographical location, infrastructure, and population density.

To enhance the transparency of the CRITS index data, a summary table is provided, showing the raw data for 19 Hungarian urban areas before normalization. This table offers a clear overview of the values for each indicator, which were later normalized to ensure comparability across the cities. The full dataset, including both raw and normalized values, is available in the Appendix (Table 5). Table 3 presents the raw urban area data from 2022 before normalization. These data were later processed using standard normalization techniques allowing for comparison across cities with differing scales and units.

The current climate resilience index values for the cities studied reveal substantial variations. These values are highly complex, as the research integrates multiple statistical and meteorological data expressed through environmental, economic, or social indicators.

The CRITS index demonstrated significant efficacy in highlighting and amplifying the differences among the investigated Hungarian cities (Figure 2) as scores ranges from -13 to 20 with a mean approximately 0 and standard deviation 8.64.

The majority of the cities on the list exhibit negative values, with Budapest, Székesfehérvár, and Tatabánya demonstrating particularly high negative figures of -12.43, -9.83, and -9.58, respectively. This suggests that these cities are encountering substantial challenges related to the

effects of climate change, which are considerably exacerbating living standards or environmental conditions due to decreased resilience.

A positive CRITS score suggests that a city exhibits more advantageous attributes for addressing climate resilience. These include factors in the case of Békéscsaba, such as the large area of local government-owned green areas, the high number of dwellings with solar collectors, and a low population aged 60 or over. In this regard, a city with a greater CRITS score demonstrates decreased vulnerability to climate-related risks and enhanced ability to implement sustainable practices.

Town	Index	Local government- owned green areas (m ²)	Population aged 60 or over (persons)	Area of municipal paved roads and public spaces (1,000 m ²)	Total number of gas consumers (persons)	Dwellings with solar panels (piece)	Dwelling stocks
Budapest	-12,43	24130806	445287	33606,5	760311	34639	963103
Győr	-6,54	2090736	33194	4130,2	54829	2977	64061
Eger	-8,27	1452733	16510	800,2	26865	744	27217
Pécs	2,22	10598720	47631	3103,9	53612	3291	74111
Kecskemét	14,05	2847629	36289	2010,1	45710	3141	54984
Békéscsaba	19,70	2280262	17672	1087,7	28373	2051	29966

Table 3. Examples of raw urban area data 2022 before normalization

Conversely, a negative CRITS score, as in the case of Budapest, suggests that a city faces challenges in resilience due to adverse factors such as the low area of local government-owned green areas, large number of dwelling stocks, and low area of playgrounds, exercise tracks, and rest areas. A lower score reflects increased exposure to climate risks, making the city less adaptable to changes in climate.

Cities such as Eger, Győr, and Szeged also show notable negative values, signalling areas of concern. For instance, in the case of Győr, unfavourable impacts include high per capita municipal road and public space coverage along with piped gas supply amounts. However, some urban areas, including Békéscsaba, Kecskemét, Nyíregyháza, Zalaegerszeg, and Szombathely demonstrate positive ratings. In particular, Békéscsaba stands out with a notably high score of 19.70. These scores indicate advantageous environmental or social attributes such as improved standard of living, reduced environmental impact, and overall increased capacity to withstand the impacts of climate change.

The analysis of the CRITS scores highlights the multidimensional nature of urban climate resilience. Cities with higher scores demonstrate the benefits of both environmental assets and well-developed social and infrastructural systems. For instance, the extent of public water networks and the availability of renewable energy infrastructure positively correlate with resilience, as these factors enhance resource distribution efficiency and mitigate the risks posed by extreme climatic events. Conversely, cities with lower scores often face challenges stemming from their urban design and demographic characteristics. As shown in Table 3, Budapest's extensive dwelling stocks and limited green areas correspond with the low CRITS score in Figure 2, indicating significant urban resilience challenges. These findings suggest that targeted investments in green infrastructure and energy efficiency could significantly bolster the resilience of such urban areas.

CRITS - Climate Resilience Index for Town Sustainability



Figure 2. Climate Resilience Index for Town Sustainability

Clustering based solely on census or settlement data yielded less satisfactory results compared to the combined dataset, as indicated by lower silhouette scores. This suggests that the combined dataset provides a more cohesive and distinct clustering structure, likely because it incorporates a broader range of relevant variables that capture the complexity of the data more effectively. On the other hand, clustering based only on meteorological data reflected geographic locations. The best clustering of the entire combined dataset, as shown in Figure 3, demonstrated good agreement with the selected dataset which consists of 41 parameters. The optimal clusters (silhouette score=0.64) are as follows:1. Zalaegerszeg and Pécs, 2. Székesfehérvár, Szolnok, Nyíregyháza, Kecskemét, 3. Eger, Salgótarján, 4 Békéscsaba, Szeged 5. Budapest, Tatabánya, Győr, Miskolc, 6. Kaposvár, Szekszárd 7. Veszprém, Szombathely 8. Debrecen. Cities in Cluster 1 (Zalaegerszeg and Pécs) benefit from shared strengths like extensive green infrastructure, while Cluster 5 (Budapest, Tatabánya, Győr, Miskolc) faces common challenges such as high population density and limited renewable energy use. The grouping of cities in Cluster 5 reveals shared challenges, particularly in the form of high population densities and insufficient renewable energy adoption. This highlights the need for targeted interventions, such as expanding solar panel installations and creating additional green spaces.

The results of the k-means clustering were shown to be robust, as adding or removing a few parameters did not significantly affect the outcomes.

The PCA-based representation confirms the separability of the clusters. The principal component with the highest loading in PCA Component 1 is average annual rainfall, with a weight of 0.97. This is followed by the per capita size of local government-owned green spaces with a weight of a weight of 0.005, along with several other factors that exhibit similar loadings. Similarly, meteorological variables, such as precipitation, also show a dominant contribution in PCA Component 2, with a loading of 0.96.

The clustering reflects similarities of the cities in the multidimensional space of the 41 selected parameters. However, this model does not account the positive or negative impacts of each index parameter on climate resilience.



Figure 3. K-means clustering with the optimal 8 clusters for the 18 county capitals and the capital of Hungary

The findings also highlight the physical mechanisms underlying urban resilience. For example, cities with abundant green spaces experience reduced heat absorption and enhanced evaporative cooling. These processes help mitigate the urban heat island phenomenon. Similarly, adopting renewable energy sources reduces dependency on fossil fuels, lowers greenhouse gas emissions, and enhances energy security during extreme weather events. Additionally, clustering patterns reveal the ways in which geographic and climatic factors shape resilience. Municipalities situated in regions with higher average rainfall or temperature extremes tend to face greater challenges, underscoring the need for region-specific adaptation strategies. This spatial variation highlights the importance of tailoring resilience-building measures to local environmental conditions.

Regarding the first hypothesis, the findings suggest that the high CRITS scores reflect cities' greater resilience to climate change challenges. The results of this analysis support the hypothesis that higher CRITS scores are significantly associated with fewer and less severe climate emergencies, highlighting the importance of urban resilience.

For the second hypothesis, the analysis of CRITS scores shows that integrating sustainable energy sources and efficient waste management systems enhances the climate resilience of urban areas Cities with higher CRITS scores generally had better indicators for sustainable energy use and waste management, contributing to their increased resilience to climate change challenges. This correlation supports the hypothesis that adopting sustainable practices is crucial for enhancing cities' climate resilience, helping them manage climate stressors and risks more effectively. The adoption of solar panels in cities such as Békéscsaba not only reduces reliance on fossil fuels but also enhances energy security during extreme weather events, serving as a replicable model for other urban areas.

Regarding the third hypothesis, the CRITS index reveals that urban areas with extensive green infrastructure and community facilities demonstrate higher social resilience and improved public health outcomes in response to climate change stressors. Green spaces and community infrastructure, such as parks, playgrounds, and cycle paths, enhance the physical and mental health of city dwellers, reduce the heat island effect, and mitigate the heat island effect, and increase a city's resilience to extreme weather events. In such areas, people are better prepared for the consequences of climate change, as infrastructure fosters community cohesion, protects local ecosystems, and improves access to health services, ultimately enhancing societal resilience.

On the fourth hypothesis, clustering cities based on the CRITS index highlights the significant impact of geographical and climatic differences on urban resilience. The results indicate that cities with similar geographic locations and climate conditions often belong to the same cluster, underscoring the strong influence of local environmental factors on urban resilience. This finding supports the hypothesis that local environmental factors play a crucial role in shaping urban resilience. The clustering analysis revealed that cities within similar clusters can benefit from exchanging best practices. For example, municipalities with well-developed green infrastructure, such as Zalaegerszeg, could share their strategies for enhancing urban green spaces with cities in Cluster 8, where such elements are lacking. Similarly, localities that have successfully adopted renewable energy could offer valuable insights on scaling sustainable energy systems and strengthening energy resilience in other areas.

The CRITS index provides a unique, multidimensional framework that combines environmental, social, and infrastructural indicators, enabling policymakers to prioritize investments in areas with the greatest vulnerabilities, such as Budapest. By adapting the CRITS index methodology to other regions, international collaborations could identify global urban resilience trends, fostering a deeper understanding of climate adaptation strategies.

DISCUSSION

The impacts of natural hazards on infrastructure [29], exacerbated by the effects of climate change, are becoming increasingly severe [30], underscoring the urgent need for resilient energy systems capable of withstanding disruptions [31]. Climate resilience indices [32], such as the Climate Resilience Index [33], are effective tools for mapping the vulnerability of cities and identifying intervention opportunities [34].

Existing research has sought to develop index-based methods for measuring and analyzing the resilience of cities in the face of various challenges, from climate change to economic shocks [35]. These indices typically incorporate a range of indicators across different domains, such as infrastructure, governance, and social well-being, in order to provide a comprehensive assessment of a city's overall resilience [36]. For example, the City Resilience Index developed by the Rockefeller Foundation and Arup is a prominent example that aims to evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of urban resilience through a framework encompassing four key dimensions [37]: health and wellbeing, economy and society, infrastructure and ecosystems, as well as leadership and strategy [36].

Furthermore, the Climate Disaster Resilience Index has been proposed as a framework to assess the strength and weaknesses of a city's resilience [38] across key domains such as health and wellbeing, economy and society, infrastructure and ecosystem, as well as leadership and strategy [36]. Building on this, the Urban Resilience and Climate Change Index has taken a more holistic approach by operationalizing climate resilience through a framework that integrates urban systems [39], people, and institutions, in line with the definition put forth by the Asian Cities Climate Change Resilience Network [40]. The Asian Cities Climate Change Resilience Network [40]. The Asian Cities Climate the capacity of urban systems, institutions, and people to adapt to the impacts of climate change. Similarly, the Indicators for Monitoring Urban Climate Change Resilience and Adaptation project aimed to develop a comprehensive set of indicators to measure urban climate resilience and track adaptation efforts [35]. Beyond these sector-specific approaches, the broader literature has increasingly recognized the value of indicator-based frameworks for monitoring

and evaluating urban climate change resilience and adaptation efforts in a more holistic manner [41]. While these index-based methods represent an important step forward, they also face some notable limitations. For one, the selection and weighting of indicators can be a subjective process, and the relative importance of different resilience dimensions may vary significantly across contexts.

The CRITS index contributed to the assessment of climate resilience in Hungarian cities by integrating a wide range of complex indicators. The analysis findings indicate that the CRITS index effectively captured differences in climate resilience across Hungarian cities, highlighting substantial variations in their abilities to address the impacts of climate change. By employing principal component analysis and k-means clustering [42], the study successfully distinguished and categorized cities based on their resilience, underscoring the influence of climatic and geographical factors. By employing PCA and clustering, it improved the robustness and interpretability of the index. The results emphasize the strong connection between climate resilience and local environmental conditions. The geographic location of cities fundamentally determines their degree of exposure to the impacts of climate change, particularly extreme weather events [31]. The analysis revealed notable disparities between the studied city clusters. For example, cities such as Zalaegerszeg demonstrated advantageous green infrastructure and higher CRITS ratings, while cities like Budapest or Székesfehérvár exhibited lower scores due to constraints including limited municipal green areas, high-density housing, and elevated energy use [43]. These divergent findings validate the hypothesis that the geographic location and unique attributes of cities are instrumental in moulding their climate adaptation capabilities [44]. Numerous studies have demonstrated that green infrastructure, such as urban parks, green roofs, and forested areas, can mitigate the urban heat island effect, improve air quality, and contribute to enhanced liveability [45].

The CRITS index integrates a wide range of indicators, providing a comprehensive understanding of cities' complex climate resilience [46]. This comprehensive capacity of the CRITS index was further contextualized through a benchmarking analysis with previous studies assessing Hungarian cities. To benchmark the performance of the CRITS index, a comparison was made with two other studies that have assessed urban sustainability or resilience in Hungarian cities. Sebestyén et al. [23] applied the SDEWES City Index to Veszprém and Zalaegerszeg. Building on a well-established sustainability index, this approach benefited from a structured, multi-criteria framework covering energy, environmental, and some social aspects. The use of explicit weighting and uncertainty analysis allowed to tailor the index to local policy priorities (emphasizing energy/CO₂, in line with climate action plans) and to test the robustness of results against different comparisons. The method is relatively straightforward to apply to any city with the required data, and results are easy to interpret as radar charts or scores for each dimension. The narrow city sample (only two cities) was a major limitation; it restricts the ability to generalize findings or perform inter-city clustering. The heavy weighting of energy and CO₂ dimensions meant the index was less balanced across sustainability pillars. Some important aspects of resilience (e.g. social equity, climate adaptation measures) were either absent or only indirectly included. Moreover, without statistical validation, the SDEWES index assumed each dimension was independent and important a priori; as noted, this led to redundant indicators and potential biases (favoring smaller cities on per-capita metrics). Thus, while useful for benchmarking technical sustainability, this approach is less suited to capturing holistic resilience to climate shocks. Greutter-Gregus [22] developed an Environmental Urban Resilience Index (EURI), offered a focused look at environmental resilience, which can be advantageous when policy makers seek quick diagnostics of environmental performance. Its simplicity (only 6 indicators) makes it replicable in data-sparse contexts or for rapid assessments. By examining two very different regions (east vs. west), it could shed light on regional disparities in environmental resilience within Hungary. The approach's narrow scope is its chief drawback. With no social or economic indicators, the EURI provides an incomplete picture of urban resilience– essentially treating resilience as a function of environmental factors alone. This omission of dimensions like social vulnerability or economic capacity means the index might misrepresent a city's true resilience (for example, a city with robust infrastructure but high social inequality might score well on EURI but actually be less resilient to crises). The small number of indicators also raises concerns about indicator sufficiency and weighting: each of the 6 inputs carries a lot of weight in the outcome, and any measurement error could swing the results significantly. Finally, the study's limited geographic coverage (only 8 cities in two counties) means its methodology and findings were highly case-specific. There was no attempt to validate the index via PCA or other means, so the reliability of the chosen indicators in measuring "resilience" remained untested.

The CRITS index expands on these previous approaches by evaluating 19 Hungarian cities using a robust set of 41 indicators across environmental, infrastructural, and social dimensions. In addition to offering a broader geographical scope-including cities like Pécs-it introduces statistical validation and clustering analysis, enabling both in-depth city-level assessment and cross-city comparisons. This integrated framework allows for the identification of city-specific vulnerabilities and adaptive capacities, offering a more holistic and actionable perspective on urban resilience planning in Hungary. The index results indicate that urban centers prioritizing sustainable energy [47], efficient waste management, and extensive green infrastructure demonstrate greater resilience to climate stressors [48]. For instance, Békéscsaba's high score is linked to its substantial municipal green spaces, widespread solar panel usage, and a relatively lower proportion of elderly residents [49]. Conversely, Budapest's negative score reflects a deficiency in green areas, a high density of dwellings, and intense energy consumption [50]. Integrating renewable energy sources, such as solar and wind power, significantly enhances urban energy independence while reducing dependence on fossil fuel resources [51]. According to the CRITS index findings, the study recognized numerous prospective intervention strategies to bolster urban resilience [52]. In municipalities with reduced resilience [53], cultivating green infrastructure is paramount, as it alleviates urban heat island impacts, betters air quality, and elevates overall liveability [54]. Concurrently, integrating renewable energy sources [55], implementing energy efficiency interventions, and developing climate-adaptable infrastructure are equally vital [56]. Moreover, cities facing comparable challenges could gain from exchanging best practices, such as approaches for expanding green spaces or advancing renewable energy adoption [57]. In conclusion, the analysis supports [58] the hypothesis that climate resilience is not solely a function of infrastructure and environmental conditions but also the result of complex interrelations between social and economic factors [59]. The findings provide a basis for designing targeted policy interventions that address the unique challenges and needs of individual cities [60]. These measures could significantly enhance urban climate adaptation capacities in the long term, contributing to more sustainable and liveable urban environments.

CONCLUSIONS

This study introduces the Climate Resilience Index for Town Sustainability, a multidimensional framework designed to evaluate urban climate resilience in Hungarian cities. By integrating environmental, social, and infrastructural dimensions, the index addresses critical gaps in existing resilience assessments, providing a robust, region-specific tool for assessing urban disparities and vulnerabilities. Stronger resilience is linked to green infrastructure, renewable energy use, and sustainable practices, as exemplified by Békéscsaba. In contrast, challenges such as limited green spaces and high energy consumption affect cities like Budapest. The index advances resilience research by combining comprehensive datasets

with advanced statistical techniques, including principal component analysis and k-means clustering, to uncover actionable patterns and to support targeted interventions. Its regional adaptability and focus on both positive and negative resilience factors make it a valuable tool for policymakers.

Further research offers significant opportunities to enhance and apply this index, enabling deeper insights into the relationship between urbanization and climate resilience. Expanding the index with additional indicators, such as income inequality, health infrastructure, and workplace resilience, would enable more nuanced analyses and broaden the scope of its application. Refining the weighting methods using dynamic, expert-informed, or statistical approaches could improve the representation of indicator significance across diverse urban contexts. Incorporating temporal considerations would allow the index to capture long-term trends and track resilience evolution, instead of providing a static assessment. Extending the application of the index to other regions or countries would increase its global relevance and strengthen its role in supporting international climate adaptation policies. Validating the index through real-world climate event analyses would further reinforce its practical reliability. An interdisciplinary approach that integrates insights from social sciences, environmental studies, and urban research would deepen the understanding of urban resilience and promote sustainable development worldwide.

NOMENCLATURE

Ι	Climate Resilience Index for					
	Town Sustainability (CRITS)					
d	sign of the impact					
σ	standard deviation					
CRITS	Climate Resilience Index for					
	Town Sustainability					
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on					
	Climate Change					
IPCC WGIII	Intergovernmental Panel on					
	Climate Change Working					
	Group III					
SDEWES	the Sustainable Development					
	of Energy, Water and					
	Environment Systems Index					

REFERENCES

- 1. IPCC, "AR6 Synthesis Report: Climate Change 2023," 2023. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/sixth-assessment-report-cycle/ (accessed Apr. 03, 2025).
- 2. S. Tyler and M. Moench, "A framework for urban climate resilience," *Clim Dev*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 311–326, Oct. 2012, https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2012.745389.
- 3. D. R. Nelson, "Adaptation and resilience: responding to a changing climate," *WIREs Climate Change*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 113–120, Jan. 2011, https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.91.
- G. Sarma and A. Zabaniotou, "Understanding Vulnerabilities of Renewable Energy Systems For Building Their Resilience to Climate Change Hazards: Key Concepts And Assessment Approaches," *Renewable Energy and Environmental Sustainability*, vol. 6, p. 35, Oct. 2021, https://doi.org/10.1051/rees/2021035.
- 5. R. Leichenko, "Climate change and urban resilience," *Curr Opin Environ Sustain*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 164–168, May 2011, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2010.12.014.
- 6. A. Sharifi and Y. Yamagata, "Urban Resilience Assessment: Multiple Dimensions, Criteria, and Indicators," 2016, pp. 259–276.

- 7. M. González-Pleiter *et al.*, "Fibers spreading worldwide: Microplastics and other anthropogenic litter in an Arctic freshwater lake," *Science of The Total Environment*, vol. 722, p. 137904, Jun. 2020, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137904.
- 8. B. Ye, J. Jiang, J. Liu, Y. Zheng, and N. Zhou, "Research on quantitative assessment of climate change risk at an urban scale: Review of recent progress and outlook of future direction," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 135, p. 110415, Jan. 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110415.
- 9. P. Kumar, "Climate Change and Cities: Challenges Ahead," *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities*, vol. 3, Feb. 2021, https://doi.org/10.3389/frsc.2021.645613.
- 10. A. H. Chohan, J. Awad, M. A. Ismail, and M. S. Arar, "Integrating Technology and Heritage Design for Climate Resilient Courtyard House in Arid Region," *Civil Engineering Journal*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 928–952, Mar. 2024, https://doi.org/10.28991/CEJ-2024-010-03-018.
- 11. M. Asmamaw, S. T. Mereta, and A. Ambelu, "Exploring households' resilience to climate change-induced shocks using Climate Resilience Index in Dinki watershed, central highlands of Ethiopia," *PLoS One*, vol. 14, no. 7, p. e0219393, Jul. 2019, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219393.
- 12. D. Sono, Y. Wei, and Y. Jin, "Assessing the Climate Resilience of Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA): A Metric-Based Approach," *Land (Basel)*, vol. 10, no. 11, p. 1205, Nov. 2021, https://doi.org/10.3390/land10111205.
- 13. A. Mukherjee, Md. Faisal, and M. K. Saha, "Measuring Resilience of Urban Slum to Climate Induced Disasters: A Study on Barishal City Corporation, Bangladesh," *International Journal of Disaster Management*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 34–47, Dec. 2020, https://doi.org/10.24815/ijdm.v3i2.17815.
- 14. Ş. E. Dincer and Ö. Y. Ercoşkun, "Urban Resilience Index Study on Ankara Metropolitan Area," *Iconarp International J. of Architecture and Planning*, Dec. 2024, https://doi.org/10.15320/ICONARP.2024.293.
- N. Gahi, K. Dongo, and M. Badolo, "Using a New Approach to Design Innovative Tools for Monitoring and Evaluating Water Policy of Burkina Faso in Response to Climate Risks," *Climate*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 1057–1078, Dec. 2015, https://doi.org/10.3390/cli3041057.
- 16. A. Cleves, E. Youkhana, and J. Toro, "A Method to Assess Agroecosystem Resilience to Climate Variability," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 14, p. 8588, Jul. 2022, https://doi.org/10.3390/su14148588.
- 17. L. C. Harwell, D. N. Vivian, M. D. McLaughlin, and S. F. Hafner, "Scientific Data Management in the Age of Big Data: An Approach Supporting a Resilience Index Development Effort," *Front Environ Sci*, vol. 7, Jun. 2019, https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00072.
- 18. Ş. Kılkış, "Issue 4, 1120518 Journal of Sus tainable Development of Energy, W ater and Environment Sys tems ISSN," vol. 12, no. 4, p. 1120518, 2024, https://doi.org/10.13044/j.sdewes.d12.0518.
- 19. S. Mohammed *et al.*, "Assessing the impacts of agricultural drought (SPI/SPEI) on maize and wheat yields across Hungary," *Sci Rep*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 8838, May 2022, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-12799-w.
- 20. Z. Pinke and G. L. Lövei, "Increasing temperature cuts back crop yields in Hungary over the last 90 years," *Glob Chang Biol*, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 5426–5435, Dec. 2017, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13808.
- 21. J. Li, "Wastes could be resources and cities could be mines," *Waste Management & Research: The Journal for a Sustainable Circular Economy*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 301–302, Apr. 2015, https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X15581268.
- 22. Greutter-Gregus É., "Environmental Index and Environmental City Resilience Index calculation for Four Settlements in Szabolcs-Szatmár-Bereg County and Four in Győr-

Moson-Sopron County.," International Journal of Engineering and Management Sciences (IJEMS), vol. 7, no. 4, 2022.

- 23. V. Sebestyén, V. Somogyi, S. Szőke, and A. Utasi, "Adapting the SDEWES Index to Two Hungarian Cities," *Hungarian Journal of Industry and Chemistry*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 49–59, Oct. 2017, https://doi.org/10.1515/hjic-2017-0008.
- 24. "Hungarian Central Statistical Office," May 09, 2024. https://statinfo.ksh.hu/Statinfo/themeSelector.jsp?lang=hu (accessed May 09, 2024).
- 25. "National Adaptation Geo-Information System," May 09, 2024. https://map.mbfsz.gov.hu/nater/ (accessed May 09, 2024).
- 26. Douglas. Steinley, "K-means clustering: A half-century synthesis," *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 1–34, May 2006, https://doi.org/10.1348/000711005X48266.
- 27. I. T. Jolliffe and J. Cadima, "Principal component analysis: a review and recent developments," *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 374, no. 2065, p. 20150202, Apr. 2016, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2015.0202.
- 28. F. Pedregosa *et al.*, "Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python," Jan. 2012, [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.0490.
- 29. B. Rathnayaka *et al.*, "Identifying and prioritizing climate change adaptation measures in the context of electricity, transportation and water infrastructure: A case study," *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, vol. 99, p. 104093, Dec. 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.104093.
- 30. S. S. Ottenburger *et al.*, "Sustainable urban transformations based on integrated microgrid designs," *Nat Sustain*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 1067–1079, Jul. 2024, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-024-01395-7.
- 31. G. Dharmarathne, A. O. Waduge, M. Bogahawaththa, U. Rathnayake, and D. P. P. Meddage, "Adapting cities to the surge: A comprehensive review of climate-induced urban flooding," *Results in Engineering*, vol. 22, p. 102123, Jun. 2024, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2024.102123.
- 32. J. Z. Farkas, E. Hoyk, and J. Rakonczai, "Geographical analysis of climate vulnerability at a regional scale: the case of the Southern Great Plain in Hungary," *Hungarian Geographical Bulletin*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 129–144, Jul. 2017, https://doi.org/10.15201/hungeobull.66.2.3.
- 33. D. Feldmeyer, D. Wilden, A. Jamshed, and J. Birkmann, "Regional climate resilience index: A novel multimethod comparative approach for indicator development, empirical validation and implementation," *Ecol Indic*, vol. 119, p. 106861, Dec. 2020, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106861.
- 34. B. Rathnayaka *et al.*, "A unified framework for evaluating the resilience of critical infrastructure: Delphi survey approach," *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, vol. 110, p. 104598, Aug. 2024, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2024.104598.
- 35. D. Feldmeyer *et al.*, "Indicators for Monitoring Urban Climate Change Resilience and Adaptation," *Sustainability*, vol. 11, no. 10, p. 2931, May 2019, https://doi.org/10.3390/su11102931.
- 36. G. Oriangi, Y. Bamutaze, P. I. Mukwaya, P. Musali, G. Di Baldassarre, and P. Pilesjö, "Testing the Proposed Municipality Resilience Index to Climate Change Shocks and Stresses in Mbale Municipality in Eastern Uganda," *Am J Clim Change*, vol. 08, no. 04, pp. 520–543, 2019, https://doi.org/10.4236/ajcc.2019.84028.
- A. Galderisi, G. Limongi, and K.-D. Salata, "Strengths and weaknesses of the 100 Resilient Cities Initiative in Southern Europe: Rome and Athens' experiences," *City, Territory and Architecture*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 16, Dec. 2020, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40410-020-00123-w.

- 38. H. Tariq, C. Pathirage, and T. Fernando, "Measuring community disaster resilience at local levels: An adaptable resilience framework," *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, vol. 62, p. 102358, Aug. 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2021.102358.
- 39. A. Urquiza *et al.*, "An Integrated Framework to Streamline Resilience in the Context of Urban Climate Risk Assessment," *Earths Future*, vol. 9, no. 9, Sep. 2021, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EF001508.
- 40. A. Jamali, M. Robati, H. Nikoomaram, F. Farsad, and H. Aghamohammadi, "Urban Resilience and Climate Change: Developing a Multidimensional Index to Adapt against Climate Change in the Iranian Capital City of Tehran," *Urban Science*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 7, Jan. 2023, https://doi.org/10.3390/urbansci7010007.
- 41. A. Sharifi and Y. Yamagata, "Principles and criteria for assessing urban energy resilience: A literature review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 60, pp. 1654–1677, Jul. 2016, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.03.028.
- 42. I. R. Orimoloye, S. P. Mazinyo, A. M. Kalumba, O. Y. Ekundayo, and W. Nel, "Implications of climate variability and change on urban and human health: A review," *Cities*, vol. 91, pp. 213–223, Aug. 2019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.01.009.
- 43. R. Aslanoğlu *et al.*, "Ten questions concerning the role of urban greenery in shaping the future of urban areas," *Build Environ*, vol. 267, p. 112154, Jan. 2025, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2024.112154.
- 44. P. Kumar *et al.*, "Urban heat mitigation by green and blue infrastructure: Drivers, effectiveness, and future needs," *The Innovation*, vol. 5, no. 2, p. 100588, Mar. 2024, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xinn.2024.100588.
- Ugochukwu Kanayo Ashinze, Blessing Aibhamen Edeigba, Aniekan Akpan Umoh, 45. Preye Winston Biu, and Andrew Ifesinachi Daraojimba, "Urban green infrastructure and its role in sustainable cities: A comprehensive review," World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews. vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 928–936, Feb. 2024, https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.2.0519.
- 46. S. M. Rezvani, M. J. Falcão, D. Komljenovic, and N. M. de Almeida, "A Systematic Literature Review on Urban Resilience Enabled with Asset and Disaster Risk Management Approaches and GIS-Based Decision Support Tools," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 4, p. 2223, Feb. 2023, https://doi.org/10.3390/app13042223.
- 47. Y. Lv and M. N. I. Sarker, "Integrative approaches to urban resilience: Evaluating the efficacy of resilience strategies in mitigating climate change vulnerabilities," *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 6, p. e28191, Mar. 2024, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e28191.
- 48. R. Kumar, "Evaluating the Impact of Green Infrastructure on Urban Ecosystems: A Case Study Approach," *International Journal for Research Publication and Seminar*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 282–288, Sep. 2024, https://doi.org/10.36676/jrps.v15.i3.1495.
- 49. M. Farghali *et al.*, "Social, environmental, and economic consequences of integrating renewable energies in the electricity sector: a review," *Environ Chem Lett*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1381–1418, Jun. 2023, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-023-01587-1.
- 50. L. S. Paraschiv and S. Paraschiv, "Contribution of renewable energy (hydro, wind, solar and biomass) to decarbonization and transformation of the electricity generation sector for sustainable development," *Energy Reports*, vol. 9, pp. 535–544, Sep. 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2023.07.024.
- S. Algarni, V. Tirth, T. Alqahtani, S. Alshehery, and P. Kshirsagar, "Contribution of renewable energy sources to the environmental impacts and economic benefits for sustainable development," *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, vol. 56, p. 103098, Mar. 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2023.103098.
- 52. V. M. Jayasooriya, A. W. M. Ng, S. Muthukumaran, and B. J. C. Perera, "Green infrastructure practices for improvement of urban air quality," *Urban For Urban Green*, vol. 21, pp. 34–47, Jan. 2017, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2016.11.007.

- 53. A. M. M. Irfeey, H.-W. Chau, M. M. F. Sumaiya, C. Y. Wai, N. Muttil, and E. Jamei, "Sustainable Mitigation Strategies for Urban Heat Island Effects in Urban Areas," *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 14, p. 10767, Jul. 2023, https://doi.org/10.3390/su151410767.
- 54. S. N. Sharma, "Nature Based Solutions to Prevent Urban Flooding," 2024, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10651295.
- 55. L. Chen *et al.*, "Green building practices to integrate renewable energy in the construction sector: a review," *Environ Chem Lett*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 751–784, Apr. 2024, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-023-01675-2.
- 56. Favour Oluwadamilare Usman, Emmanuel Chigozie Ani, Wisdom Ebirim, Danny Jose Portillo Montero, Kehinde Andrew Olu-lawal, and Nwakamma Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu, "INTEGRATING RENEWABLE ENERGY SOLUTIONS IN THE MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES: A REVIEW," Engineering Science & Technology Journal, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 674–703, Mar. 2024, https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i3.865.
- 57. A. G. Olabi *et al.*, "Renewable energy systems: Comparisons, challenges and barriers, sustainability indicators, and the contribution to UN sustainable development goals," *International Journal of Thermofluids*, vol. 20, p. 100498, Nov. 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijft.2023.100498.
- 58. A. Jurgilevich *et al.*, "Factors influencing vulnerability to climate change-related health impacts in cities A conceptual framework," *Environ Int*, vol. 173, p. 107837, Mar. 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2023.107837.
- 59. M. M. Al-Humaiqani and S. G. Al-Ghamdi, "The built environment resilience qualities to climate change impact: Concepts, frameworks, and directions for future research," *Sustain Cities Soc*, vol. 80, p. 103797, May 2022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2022.103797.
- 60. S. E. Bibri, J. Krogstie, and M. Kärrholm, "Compact city planning and development: Emerging practices and strategies for achieving the goals of sustainability," *Developments in the Built Environment*, vol. 4, p. 100021, Nov. 2020, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dibe.2020.100021.

APPENDIX

Table 4.	Presentation	of indicator	-impact re	lationships

Indicator	Resource	Impact	Explanation
Area of the municipality (km ²)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Negative (-1)	Larger areas require more infrastructure to be maintained, increasing vulnerability to resource demand and climate change impacts.
Population aged 60 or over (persons)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Negative (-1)	Older population is more vulnerable to extreme weather events (e.g. heat waves), reducing urban resilience.
Total volume of water supplied (1,000 m ³)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Negative (-1)	High water consumption increases energy use and stress on water supply systems.
Total volume of wastewater discharged to public sewerage (1,000 m ³)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Negative (-1)	Discharging large volumes of wastewater requires more energy and infrastructure, increasing the vulnerability of resources.
Liquid waste transported directly to the wastewater treatment plant (1,000 m ³)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Negative (-1)	Large quantities of waste transported imply increased logistical and energy requirements.

Volume of electricity supplied to households (1,000 kWh)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	High energy consumption Negative (-1) means greater dependence on fossil fuels, reducing sustainability.
Number of electricity consumers (persons)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Negative (-1) increases the load and vulnerability of the energy network. High electricity consumption
Total volume of electricity supplied (1,000 kWh)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	environmental pressures, especially when energy Negative (-1) sources are based on fossil fuels. This increases carbon emissions, increases energy
Total amount of supplied piped gas (without conversion) (1,000 m ³)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Negative (-1) Negative (-1) Ne
Total number of gas consumers (persons)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Negative (-1) for fossil fuels, which contributes to greenhouse gas emissions and increases energy
Regularly cleaned public areas (1,000 m ²)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Maintaining regularly cleaned public areas requires Negative (-1) significant energy, water and labour. Increases the urban heat island effect. Removing large amounts
Waste removed from households (t)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	of household waste increases energy use and carbon emissions, especially when Negative (-1) done with inefficient waste management systems. This contributes to greenhouse gas emissions and wastes
Area of paved internal roads in regularly cleaned public spaces (1,000 m ²)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	resources. Large areas of paved roads reduce natural drainage capacity, increasing the risk of flooding. Maintaining such areas requires additional energy and resources.
Area of municipal paved roads and public spaces (1,000 m ²)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Large areas of paved roads and public spaces contribute to Negative (-1) the urban heat island effect, which increases the impact of heat waves.

Area of national public roads (1,000 m ²)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Large areas of p contribute to the island effect, which the impact of heat w More building	aved roads urban heat n increases vaves
Dwelling stock (piece)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Nore building higher maintenand Negative (-1) which can put pu infrastructure durir extremes.	ressure on ng climate
Built dwellings (piece)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	A large number dwellings urbanization, which more energy and rea especially durin Negative (-1) Negative (-1) natural ground cov can exacerbate problems and the island effect.	er of built increases in leads to source use, ing the ess. The eas reduces ver, which drainage urban heat
Vertical load bearing wall: pre-fabricated framework (piece)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	structures often thermal insulation Negative (-1) which increases t consumption of especially for he cooling.	have low properties, he energy buildings, ating and
Vertical load bearing wall: concrete (piece)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Concrete mason bearing structures negative impact resilience by increas consumption and emissions, while re resilience of buildi effects of climate ch	ry load- have a on urban sing energy carbon ducing the ngs to the ange.
Dwellings with Air- conditioning (piece)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Negative (-1) energy consumption strain on the energy during he	on, putting ergy grid, eat waves.
Increase in pineal temperature (°C)	National Adaptation Geo-information System (NAGiS)	Higher ter Negative (-1) increase energy de health risks.	mperatures emand and
*Number of heat days (number of hot days) (piece)	National Adaptation Geo-information System (NAGiS)	More frequent h Negative (-1) have direct he economic impacts.	alth and
*Average rainfall yearly (mm)	National Adaptation Geo-information System (NAGiS)	increase the risk of especially in Negative (-1) environments whe surfaces impede drainage. This ca overloading of infra	f flooding, urban ere paved natural n lead to astructure.

*Global radiation	National Adaptation Geo-information System (NAGiS)	Negative (-1)	High global radiation increases the intensity of the urban heat island effect, which can lead to extreme temperature conditions. This generates higher energy demand for cooling.
*Number of heatwave days (piece)	National Adaptation Geo-information System (NAGiS)	Negative (-1)	days has direct health and economic impacts, particularly on vulnerable populations (e.g. elderly). It also overloads energy networks and increases cooling demand.
*Number of frosty days in spring (piece)	National Adaptation Geo-information System (NAGiS)	Negative (-1)	Frosty days in spring damage agriculture and vegetation, causing economic losses. In addition, temperature fluctuations increase energy use for heating. Heavy rainfall increases the
*Number of rainfall days exceeding 30 mm (piece)	National Adaptation Geo-information System (NAGiS)	Negative (-1)	risk of flash floods that damage infrastructure and transport systems. This leads to higher maintenance costs and lower resilience
Public drinking fountains (piece)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Negative (-1)	Improve public access to clean water, especially during extreme heat waves, reducing heat stress.
Length of public water network (km)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Positive (+1)	network improves the reliability of water supply, an important climate adaptation consideration
Length of public sewerage (km)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Positive (+1)	Better sanitation networks reduce the risk of flooding and pollution. An extensive low-voltage
Length of low voltage electrical distribution network (km)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Positive (+1)	electrical network increases the efficiency of energy distribution, improving the population's access to a reliable energy supply. This is particularly important during climate change emergencies, such as heat waves, when electricity demand increases.
Length of gas pipe- network (km)		Positive (+1)	A wen-developed gas pipeline network ensures efficient distribution of energy resources and reduces transport

	Hungarian Central Statistical Office		losses. Although the sustainability impact of fossil fuels is limited, upgrading existing networks can improve the reliability of energy supply in the short term, especially in colder periods.
Local government-owned green areas (m ²)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Positive (+1)	heat island effects, improve air quality and enhance ecosystem services. Playgrounds, sports fields
Area of playgrounds, exercise tracks, and rest areas (m ²)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Positive (+1)	and recreational areas increase the green infrastructure of cities, which contributes to reducing the urban heat island effect, improves air quality and promotes the physical and mental health of the
Length of municipal bicycle paths and combined pedestrian and bicycle paths (km)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Positive (+1)	Provide sustainable transport options, reducing emissions from the transport sector.
Length of Municipal Pavements (km)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Positive (+1)	Improving pedestrian transport infrastructure reduces the use of fossil fuels.
Vertical load-bearing wall: brick (piece)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Positive (+1)	with brick masonry provide better thermal insulation, thus reducing energy consumption, especially heating and cooling demands. Load-bearing structures
Vertical load-bearing wall: adobe (piece)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Positive (+1)	with adobe masonry also provide good thermal insulation and are highly energy efficient, especially in dry, hot climates. Adobe is a natural material that is sustainable and low carbon, contributing to environmental gustainability.
Dwellings with heat pump systems (piece)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Positive (+1)	Energy efficient heating systems reduce energy consumption and carbon emissions.
Dwellings with solar panels (piece)	Hungarian Central Statistical Office	Positive (+1)	renewable energy sources, reducing dependence on fossil energy.

Pécsing	Pécsinger, J., Macher, G. Z., <i>et al.</i> Year 2025						
Assessi	ng the Urban Clima	ate Resilience of C	ities in Hungary		Vo	lume 13, Issue 3, 1	.130596
Dwellings with solar collector (piece) Table 5. Examp			Hungarian (Statistical (Central Pos Office (+1)	H reduce using and depen- sitive carbo contri- and prom- use, r incre- indep chan, 022 before no	lomes with s ce energy cons g solar energy hot water, ndence on foss on emissio ibutes to su urban resi noting renewa reducing energ asing bendence fro ge.	olar panels sumption by for heating reducing sil fuels and ns. This ustainability lience by ble energy gy costs and energy m climate
1			F				
	Local		Population	Area of	Total	Dwellings	
		government	aged 60 or	municipal	number of	with solar	
	Town	-owned	over	paved roads	gas	panels	Dwelling
		green areas	(persons)	and public	consumers	(piece)	stocks
		(m²)		spaces $(1,000)$	(persons)		
	Dudanast	24120806	115297	22606.5	760211	24620	062102
	Szákasfahárvá	· 1221507	443287	2280.5	/00311	54059 2207	903103 48340
	Tatabánya	1706510	27341	2380,3	42782	611	40340
	Vasznróm	021760	17130	1290,8	24254	1222	51455 27642
	Veszprem	921700	22104	1194,1	24234 54820	1555	27043
	Gyon	2090730	5519 4 51557	4130,2	34029	1650	364001
	Zalaagamarag	130/0/3	21337	14/1,2	32343 36591	1039	30427
	Dága	2081433	17001	1/22,1	20301	2201	2/908
	reus Vanaguán	10398720	4/031	5105,9	27027	5291	74111
	Kaposvar	13009/1	18298	982,2 807.2	2/05/	900 670	30737
	Misholo	4/3324	944 /	807,5 2475 7	9107	0/9	13930
	Ecor	1452722	40930	24/3,/	08080	2100	79032
	Eger	1432733	10310	800,2 708_1	20803	744	2/21/ 190 5 6
	Dahraaan	1220313	9//4	708,1	74284	210 5199	18030
	Szolnok	1/0310/	0/933	2980,2 1177 6	/4284 20626	J188 1529	25012
	SZUIIIOK Nyúrogyháza	10000/9	21033	11//,0	30030	1520	53712 52710
	Kaaskamát	1902103	26200	1341,3 2010 1	45509	2400 2141	54094
	Necskemet	284/829	30289 17670	2010,1	43/10	3141 2051	34984 20066
	Bekescsaba	2280202	1/0/2	108/,/	283/3	2031	29900 00116
-	Szeged	3//1081	222//	2093,4	80949	4200	00410